MPB Decision Support Tool (DST) Prototype Development

April 28, 2011

Willi Fast Yanguo Qin, Cosmin Tansanu Brian Maier, Kerry Nice, Spiros Sioutis Dick Dempster Shongming Huang Ellen MacDonald

4 topics to be Addressed:

MPB Monitoring Program

- MPB Monitoring Program
- MPB DST Development

- MPB Monitoring Program
- MPB DST Development
- MPB DST Enhancement Plan

- MPB Monitoring Program
- MPB DST Development
- MPB DST Enhancement Plan
- MPB DST Demo

MPB Monitoring Program:

MPB Monitoring Program:

- Detailed Plot Monitoring Program
 - Quantify tree and non-tree responses to MPB attack

MPB Monitoring Program:

- Detailed Plot Monitoring Program
 - Quantify tree and non-tree responses to MPB attack

Basic Plot Monitoring Program

- Monitor the spread of MPB in attacked stands

MPB Monitoring Program:

 240 existing PSP's selected from among SRD and Company plot programs

MPB Monitoring Program:

- 240 existing PSP's selected from among SRD and Company plot programs
- Selection Criteria:
 - Known to be in stands already attacked by MPB
 - Located in areas and stand types at high risk for MPB attack

Detailed Plot Monitoring Program

Detailed Plot Monitoring Program

149 of 240 plots measured in 2008

Detailed Plot Monitoring Program

- 149 of 240 plots measured in 2008
- 20 of 149 remeasured in 2010

Detailed Plot Monitoring Program

- 149 of 240 plots measured in 2008
- 20 of 149 remeasured in 2010
- Assessed:
 - Trees, saplings, regeneration
 - Non-tree vegetation
 - Ecosite
 - MPB attack (# & location of pitch tubes)
 - Cone serotiny
 - Lichen presence and abundance

Basic Plot Monitoring Program



Basic Plot Monitoring Program

122 of 240 plots measured in 2009 or 2010

Basic Plot Monitoring Program

- 122 of 240 plots measured in 2009 or 2010
- 20 of 122 remeasured in 2010

Basic Plot Monitoring Program

- 122 of 240 plots measured in 2009 or 2010
- 20 of 122 remeasured in 2010
- Assessed:
 - Abundance (number of trees) and Severity (number of pitch tubes) of MPB attack
 - Assessed in PSP's, and in stands surrounding PSP's

DST Development



DST Objective is to:

" project stand conditions under a range of mortality, secondary structure and regeneration scenarios "

1. Describe Existing Stands

- **1. Describe Existing Stands**
- 2. Define Levels of MPB Attack

- **1. Describe Existing Stands**
- 2. Define Levels of MPB Attack
- 3. Define Salvage Treatments

- **1. Describe Existing Stands**
- 2. Define Levels of MPB Attack
- 3. Define Salvage Treatments
- 4. Define Regeneration Assumptions

- **1. Describe Existing Stands**
- 2. Define Levels of MPB Attack
- 3. Define Salvage Treatments
- 4. Define Regeneration Assumptions
- 5. Post-Attack Stand Projections

- **1. Describe Existing Stands**
- 2. Define Levels of MPB Attack
- 3. Define Salvage Treatments
- 4. Define Regeneration Assumptions
- 5. Post-Attack Stand Projections
- 6. DST Application Development

- **1. Describe Existing Stands**
- 2. Define Levels of MPB Attack
- 3. Define Salvage Treatments
- 4. Define Regeneration Assumptions
- 5. Post-Attack Stand Projections
- **6.** DST Application Development
- 7. User Feedback and DST Enhancement

	Stratum Number	Ecosite	Natural Region	
Drier	1	b, c	Any	Poorer
	2	d (c)	UF	
	3	d (c)	LF	
Mesic Moisture Regime Wetter	4	e (d)	SA/UF	
	5	e (d)	LF	
	6	f (e)	UF	Rich Nutrient Regime
	7	f (e)	LF	
	8	h (f)	Any	Poorer

Result:

Result:

18 unique 'Stand Types' across the 8 Strata

Result:

18 unique 'Stand Types' across the 8 Strata

Represent the most common *'typical'* stand types found in the 8 project strata

Result:

18 unique 'Stand Types' across the 8 Strata

Represent the most common *'typical'* stand types found in the 8 project strata

Attributes
Result:

18 unique 'Stand Types' across the 8 Strata

Represent the most common *'typical'* stand types found in the 8 project strata

Attributes 1 layer vs 2 layer

Result:

18 unique 'Stand Types' across the 8 Strata

Represent the most common *'typical'* stand types found in the 8 project strata

Attributes 1 layer vs 2 layer Species composition by layer

Result:

18 unique 'Stand Types' across the 8 Strata

Represent the most common *'typical'* stand types found in the 8 project strata

Attributes

- 1 layer vs 2 layer
- **Species composition by layer**

Plot data and Ecosite Guides used for densities, heights, Site Index



DBH: Mean, Std. Dev.

2. Define Levels of MPB Attack

2. Define Levels of MPB Attack



3. Define Salvage Treatments

3. Define Salvage Treatments

No:

No removal of trees, no matter the degree of attack

Yes:

Removal of all attacked trees, no matter the degree of attack







Where undisturbed by salvage, understory continues to grow



Areas disturbed by salvage recruit natural *Pine* regeneration at rates observed in FGYA RLP study



Option:

Supplemental planting of 2000 *Pine* trees/ha in salvage - disturbed areas



Option:

Scarification / site preparation to promote natural regeneration

Only after salvage in 100% MPB attack

5. Post Attack Stand Projections

5. Post Attack Stand Projections



Regeneration Assumptions

Salvage Treatments

Web-based application

- Web-based application
- Users are given User ID's and Passwords by System Administrator

- Web-based application
- Users are given User ID's and Passwords by System Administrator
- Archived 'Answer Database 'containing results from all MGM and GYPSY projections

• Users specify:

- Users specify:
 - Model preference (MGM vs GYPSY)

- Users specify:
 - Model preference (MGM *vs* GYPSY)
 - Stratum (one of 8 possible)

- Users specify:
 - Model preference (MGM vs GYPSY)
 - Stratum (one of 8 possible)
 - Stand Structure (pre-defined for each Stratum)

- Users specify:
 - Model preference (MGM vs GYPSY)
 - Stratum (one of 8 possible)
 - Stand Structure (pre-defined for each Stratum)
 - Data Version

• Users specify:

• Users specify:

• Current Age Class (75, 120, 160)

- Users specify:
 - Current Age Class (75, 120, 160)
 - MPB Mortality Level (0, 25, 50, 100%)

- Users specify:
 - Current Age Class (75, 120, 160)
 - MPB Mortality Level (0, 25, 50, 100%)
 - Intervention (determined by MPB %)

- Users specify:
 - Current Age Class (75, 120, 160)
 - MPB Mortality Level (0, 25, 50, 100%)
 - Intervention (determined by MPB %)
 - No Salvage (Base Run)

- Users specify:
 - Current Age Class (75, 120, 160)
 - MPB Mortality Level (0, 25, 50, 100%)
 - Intervention (determined by MPB %)
 - No Salvage (Base Run)
 - Salvage (implies Natural PI Regen)

- Users specify:
 - Current Age Class (75, 120, 160)
 - MPB Mortality Level (0, 25, 50, 100%)
 - Intervention (determined by MPB %)
 - No Salvage (Base Run)
 - Salvage (implies Natural PI Regen)
 - Salvage + Supplemental Planting

- Users specify:
 - Current Age Class (75, 120, 160)
 - MPB Mortality Level (0, 25, 50, 100%)
 - Intervention (determined by MPB %)
 - No Salvage (Base Run)
 - Salvage (implies Natural PI Regen)
 - Salvage + Supplemental Planting
 - Salvage + Supplemental Planting + Site Preparation (only for 100% Kill and Salvage)

 Application queries the 'Answer Database ' for Scenarios specified by the user

 Application queries the 'Answer Database ' for Scenarios specified by the user

• Reports results from the run that projects the Scenario specified by the user

 Application queries the 'Answer Database ' for Scenarios specified by the user

- Reports results from the run that projects the Scenario specified by the user
- Tabular and graphic output of:

 Application queries the 'Answer Database ' for Scenarios specified by the user

 Reports results from the run that projects the Scenario specified by the user

- Tabular and graphic output of:
 - Mensurational stand growth parameters for 100 year projection period
Application queries the 'Answer Database ' for Scenarios specified by the user

 Reports results from the run that projects the Scenario specified by the user

- Tabular and graphic output of:
 - Mensurational stand growth parameters for 100 year projection period
 - Wood quality parameters for first 10 years of projection

• Users can:

- Users can:
 - View results (tabular)

- Users can:
 - View results (tabular)
 - Report results (tabular + graphic)

- Users can:
 - View results (tabular)
 - Report results (tabular + graphic)
 - Save Scenario specifications

- Users can:
 - View results (tabular)
 - Report results (tabular + graphic)
 - Save Scenario specifications
 - Export results to Excel

- Users can:
 - View results (tabular)
 - Report results (tabular + graphic)
 - Save Scenario specifications
 - Export results to Excel
 - Print results report to PDF

• User group given opportunity to use DST

- User group given opportunity to use DST
- User feedback solicited with on-line Response Facility

- User group given opportunity to use DST
- User feedback solicited with on-line Response Facility

User Feedback Workshop

- User group given opportunity to use DST
- User feedback solicited with on-line Response Facility

• User Feedback Workshop

 Enhancement Plan developed to address User Feedback issues

Suite of Stand Types Available

Suite of Stand Types Available

Sw and Fb understories not currently in DST

Suite of Stand Types Available

Sw and Fb understories not currently in DST

 'No Salvage' may become an important management prescription if Sw and Fb can be shown as important in supporting medium-term timber supplies

Applicability of Starting Conditions

Applicability of Starting Conditions

 Starting densities, ages, heights did not always reflect the kinds of stands that users need to address **Applicability of Starting Conditions**

 Starting densities, ages, heights did not always reflect the kinds of stands that users need to address

 Currently no ability to enter 'custom' starting conditions to reflect local conditions

Regeneration response in Unsalvaged Stands

Regeneration response in Unsalvaged Stands

 Currently, no natural regeneration of PI after MPB attack in the absence of Salvage

Regeneration response in Unsalvaged Stands

- Currently, no natural regeneration of PI after MPB attack in the absence of Salvage
- Based largely on BC published literature

Regeneration response in Unsalvaged Stands

 Currently, no natural regeneration of PI after MPB attack in the absence of Salvage

- Based largely on BC published literature
- Current assumptions are conservative and likely an under-estimate of natural regeneration expected after MPB

Shelf-Life of MPB killed timber

Shelf-Life of MPB killed timber

 Currently, post-MPB Wood Quality parameters are based on published observations in BC

Shelf-Life of MPB killed timber

 Currently, post-MPB Wood Quality parameters are based on published observations in BC

 Anecdotal evidence that Alberta responses are different

Shelf-Life of MPB killed timber

 Currently, post-MPB Wood Quality parameters are based on published observations in BC

- Anecdotal evidence that Alberta responses are different
- More severe Checking, faster Fall Down

Non-tree vegetation responses in MPB Stands

Non-tree vegetation responses in MPB Stands

DST does not currently report any non-tree vegetation responses after MPB

Non-tree vegetation responses in MPB Stands

DST does not currently report any non-tree vegetation responses after MPB

 2010 field measurement provide first opportunity to describe non-tree vegetation response after MPB

Non-tree vegetation responses in MPB Stands

DST does not currently report any non-tree vegetation responses after MPB

 2010 field measurement provide first opportunity to describe non-tree vegetation response after MPB

 Use/application of non-tree vegetation trends have not been defined

MPB attack and mortality assumptions

MPB attack and mortality assumptions

• DST currently only addresses 4 discrete levels of MPB attack (0, 25, 50, 100%) **MPB** attack and mortality assumptions

- DST currently only addresses 4 discrete levels of MPB attack (0, 25, 50, 100%)
- An intermediate level of 75 80% is required since that is a 'typical' level being observed in Alberta

Validation of GYPSY 3 Layers

Validation of GYPSY 3 Layers

 DST projections required a 3-layer version of GYPSY
DST Enhancement Plan

Validation of GYPSY 3 Layers

- DST projections required a 3-layer version of GYPSY
- Developed by Huang in response to DST request

DST Enhancement Plan

Validation of GYPSY 3 Layers

 DST projections required a 3-layer version of GYPSY

- Developed by Huang in response to DST request
- GYPSY 3-Layers continues to be un-validated, and is not considered 'approved' by Aberta SRD