Development, Calibration, Evaluation And Application Of a Spatially Explicit, Individual-Tree, Growth And Yield Model

### Tree And Stand Simulator TASS

Ian R. Cameron Azura Formetrics Kamloops BC

Introduction **TASS Structure and Operation TASS II** TASS III Calibration **Individual-Tree Measurements Plot Measurements Adaptation to New Silviculture Treatments** and Issues **Model Evaluations Applications** 

#### Introduction <u>History and Overview</u> -beginnings 1963 - Ken Mitchell -historically - spatially explicit models - Canadian role - Canadian Forest Service, Yale Univ. - 1980 - BC Forest Service - TIPSY

Introduction TASS Structure and Calibration TASS II TASS III Model Evaluation Adaptation to New Silviculture Treatments and Reporting Requirements Applications

### Classification

Individual Tree – geometric model of the crown and bole of individual trees

Spatial – recognizes location of trees in 3D space

Raster Model – 3D growing space

Crown Model

- organ of competition
- metric of growing space
- crowns search the growing space without calculating inter-tree distances.

### **Classification (cont'd)**

Growth and Yield Model – measure of success is repeating the patterns of tree dimensions observed in historic yield experiments and plots.

intended for application to management issues.

#### Hierarchy of Measurement Detail

Growth & Yield PSPs inventory monitoring

G &Y Experiments plot-level statistics tree-level statistics

> crown measurements branch measurements upper stem diameters (taper & form)

### Hierarchy of Measurement Detail (cont'd)

Individual Tree – destructive analysis Crowns **Branch** extension - 1<sup>st</sup> Order - Higher order **Branch diameters** Foliar Biomass - Distribution - Leaf Area & SLA - leaf anatomy - leaf morphology - leaf physiology

#### Hierarchy of Measurement Detail (cont'd)

Individual Tree – destructive analysis Boles (stems) Height increment Ring width and area Sapwood area Earlywood – Latewood Ring density profiles Cell characteristics (microfibril angle)

#### **TASS Components**

- Height Growth
- Branch Growth & Crown Expansion
- Crown Competition
- Tree Mortality
- Crown Volume
- Bole Increment
- Increment Distribution

#### Potential height growth of individual trees (may be altered by competition)





Measurements of branch length and branch growth ...

... described by distance from the apex

#### **Crown Volume**

# Shells of productive crown, integrated as weighted foliar volume

i	Hgi	Wi	WiHgi
1	0.55	1	0.55
2	0.55	0.86	0.47
3	0.5	0.75	0.38
4	0.3	0.63	0.19
5	0.5	0.4	0.2
		Sum:	1.59



FV = CA\*∑ WiHgi = 31.4\*1.59 = 50 cu.m.

Crown area = 31.4 sq.m

#### **Crown Competition**

space is occupied, "branches" die, and crowns lift



#### Mortality based on

- degree of overtopping
- size of crown relative to size of tree



### Bole increment



16

#### **Area Increment from Tree Measurements**



### Area Increment and Diameter Increment From Tree Measurements



#### Average values by source and crown class

Earlywood area inc./total area inc.



**Relative density** 









#### **Benefits of Pruning Early**

Pruning Ages	Harvest Age (yrs.)	Clear Lumber (M bd ff)	NPV (\$)
	60	0	570
15 20 25	60	26	250
14 17 20	60	43	1050
13 15 17	60	63	2300

**Clear Wood** 





#### Simulated Lumber



Introduction TASS Structure and Calibration TASS II TASS III Model Evaluation Adaptation to New Silviculture Treatments and Reporting Requirements Applications

#### TASS III

3-year Project (2005/06 to 2007/08)

Integration of Components Previously Developed

- Redesigned Raster Grid
- VISTAS visualization
- tRAYci light model (Brunner 1998)

New Components Graphical User Interface Carbon-Balance structure Updates Crown shyness

**General Release** 



#### **TASS Grid**

Square columns

Typically 20 cm x 20 cm

<u>TASS II</u> One canopy layer Per grid column



#### **TASS III Grid**

<u>TASS III</u> - multiple layers per grid column -overlaps permitted (interlocking crowns)

#### TASS with and without tRAYci light model





#### VISTAS

**3D Visualization** 

**OpenGL Graphics** 

Integrated graphic and text reporting

Analysis of Stand Structures



For Help, press F1.

Display: PACL: Vertical, Left-Right @ 9.0 metres

Plot size: 25.0 x 40.0

Crown Validity

#### **Crown Shyness**

#### Without crown shyness

#### With crown shyness



#### Adapting to New Treatments, Pests and Issues

#### How are tree components affected?

- Height Growth
- Branch Growth & Crown Expansion
- Crown Volume
- Bole Increment
- Increment Distribution
- Crown Competition
- Tree Mortality

Adapting to New Treatments, Pests and Issues

Example: Genetic Gain

#### How are tree components affected?

- Height Growth

- Branch Growth & Crown Expansion
- Crown Volume
- Bole Increment
- Increment Distribution
- Crown Competition
- Tree Mortality

Adapting to New Treatments, Pests and Issues

Example: Armillaria Root Disease

How are tree components affected?

- **X** Height Growth
- **X** Branch Growth & Crown Expansion
- **X** Crown Volume
- - Bole Increment
    - Increment Distribution
      - Crown Competition
      - Disease spread root systems
      - Tree Mortality

Special Version of TASS

Introduction TASS Structure and Calibration TASS II TASS III Adaptation to New Silviculture Treatments and Reporting Requirements Model Evaluation Applications

# Total volume/ha vs height

#### **Gregg Burn - Average over all sites**

TASS



## Diameter distributions at age 40 Site 2 vs. TASS simulations





Introduction TASS Structure and Calibration TASS II TASS III Adaptation to New Silviculture Treatments and Reporting Requirements Model Evaluations Applications Silvicultural Treatments Planting Pre-commercial thinning Commercial Thinning Fertilization Pruning Genetic Gain

### Pests

Sitka spruce terminal weevil Armillaria root disease Phellinus root disease

Species Douglas-fir Western Hemlock Western Redcedar Sitka Spruce Lodgepole Pine White Spruce Red Alder **Trembling** Aspen **Engelmann Spruce** Subalpine Fir

Challenges in Application

Bias Associated With the Calibration Data
- experimental installations
- subjectively located plots
- mortality, increment losses and damage

TASS yields reflect the potential, even if we are accounting for density effects.

**Challenges in Application** 

**Spatial Coordinates for Trees** 

Stem maps are rare.

Assume distributions at stand initiation

- plantings tend to be regular
- natural regeneration can be added in a variety of spatial arrangements ... but the user must choose the one they want.
- simulate wide range of alternatives to assess the sensitivity
- measure stem maps for case studies of interest.

**Challenges in Application** 

**Spatial Coordinates for Trees** 

Initiating mature stands is problematic

Defining the joint distributions of spatial arrangement and tree size for a mature stand is one of the most difficult problems in quantitative forestry – simply choosing random coordinates is unsatisfactory.

A partial solution -- approximate mature stand conditions by iterating over a range of initial conditions. **Stand-Level Silviculture Decisions** 

Best application of TASS because of close link to the type of calibration

Emphasis on response to treatment

Calibration bias less of an issue

- ranking of alternatives
- relative responses

More comfort with requisite assumptions on spatial distributions

Yield Curves for Forest Management Planning

#### Bias issue must be addressed by:

- yield reductions (Operational Adj. Factors) when primary focus on volume.
  - OR
- explicit identification of factors that contribute to the bias:
  - •spatial distribution of trees, with emphasis on "holes"
  - non-productive areas
  - forest pests



Transition from *Bryoria* to *Alectoria* descends in the tree after partial cutting

From Lewis (2004) Masters thesis, Simon Fraser U.

### Factorial design of TASS simulation experiment



#### **ESSF Simulations** 60% removal – 25m x 25m Patches



#### **VISTAS** representation

Engelmann Spruce Subalpine Fir

PACL at 0.5m above ground level



#### ESSF Simulations Zones for live & dead branch



#### **Concluding Remarks**

There are inherent advantages and disadvantages to each of the different spatiophysical model types. Useful models can be constructed from any of these structures. The merits of the resulting model will depend on the many other decisions made about the architecture within that spatiophysical framework. Model evaluation is an essential component of the model building process and will help users differentiate the models that are useful from those that are not.