Foothills Growth and Yield Association

Hugh Lougheed for Robert Udell, RPF Program Lead Foothills Research Institute AGM June 17, 2009

Outline

- **x FGYA Organization**
- x Mission
- x Relevance to FRI Mission and Goals 2007-12
- **x Priorities and Projects**



Foothills G&Y Association (April 1, 2000) Organizational Status April 1, 2009

- **x** Chair Dwight Weeks Canfor
- x Research and Development Associate Dick Dempster, Ph.D.
 - Applying his expertise to growing body of data and research information
- **x** Operations Director Bob Udell
 - Managing business and field operations of Association
 - Assisted by Hugh Lougheed
- **x** Field Coordinator Rand McPherson
 - Responsible for field operations and quality control, reporting to Udell



FGYA Steering Committee

- **x** Dwight Weeks (Chair) Canfor
- **x** Bob Held Sundre Forest Products
- **x** Robert Stokes ASRD
- **x** Ed Kulscar Spray Lakes
- **x Greg Behuniak Weyerhaeuser**
- **x** Greg Branton Alberta Newsprint
- **x** John Huey Sundance Forest Industries
- **x** Tim Burns Blue Ridge Lumber
- **x** Richard Briand Hinton Forest Products
- **x** Tim McCready Millar Western
- **x** Murray Summers Foothills Research Institute Board



Mission and Mandate of the FGYA

Goal: Continually improve the assessment of lodgepole pine growth and yield in managed stands by:

1. Forecasting and monitoring responses to silvicultural treatments;

2. Facilitating the scientific development and validation of yield forecasts used by members in managing their tenures;

3. Promoting knowledge, shared responsibility and cost-effective co-operation.



FRI Goal 1: Building a community of diverse and active partners working in natural resource management.

x FGYA is Diverse

- 9 sponsoring and voting companies
- Alberta Sustainable Resource Development
- Foothills Research Institute Board Representative

x - And Active!

- Six research projects underway covering the range of lodgepole pine in Alberta
- * Meets fundamental need for growth & yield forecasting



FRI Goal 2: Identifying natural resource management issues at the landscape level that are common to our partnership

x Mountain pine beetle project underway (Project 7 MPB)

Managed under FRI's Mountain Pine Beetle Ecology Program

x Climate Change work beginning (Project 2 RLP)

 Collaborating with U of A (Andreas Hamann) on comparing 5- and 7year Regenerated Lodgepole Pine trial results to Alberta Climate Change model (ClimateAB)



FRI Goal 3: Providing science-based tools and knowledge that is understandable and available to natural resource managers, policy makers, and the public.

- x Project 2: RLP Growth and yield of regenerated stands 408 Plots
- x **Project 3: Comparing regenerated stands to fire origin**
 - x PHSD Dialogues
- x Project 4: Maintaining/ analyzing historic trials
 - x 14 Installations
- x Project 5: Linking growth and yield to AVI at region level
 - x SRD Project
- x Project 6: Enhanced management of lodgepole pine
 - Lodgepole Pine Nutrition 30 stands
 - x Pine-aspen Density Management 18 Stands
- x Project 7: Monitoring and Decision Support for Forest Management in a Mountain Pine Beetle Environment
 - x 150 plots



FRI Goal 4: Broadly disseminating our knowledge.

x Communications and outreach programs

- x Spring Technical Forum 2008
- Three Quicknotes 2008/09
- Two Information Notes (5-year crop performance, MPB sampling protocol)
- One Interim Technical Note on Climate and Mortality
- One Internal Technical Report (aspen impact on pine growth)
- x 9 forest companies, other research cooperatives, universities and 2 levels of government collaborate in sharing information and support



FGYA Priority Research Areas and Projects 2009

- **Responses to planting, vegetation management and density** Х regulation treatments in harvest-origin stands
 - Project 2 Regenerated Lodgepole Pine

Mortality, forest health and risk management in regenerated stands Х following harvest

- Project 2 Includes climate impacts on regeneration performance x
- Project 7 Monitoring and decision support, MPB x

Investigations of spacing, tending, nutrition and thinning Х

- Project 4 Historic Research Trials x
- x
- Project 6 Enhanced Management of Lodgepole Pine two projects: Pine Nutrition and Density; Pine/Aspen Competition
- Impacts of density management on wood quality over time Х
 - New 2008 No project at present x



Project 2: Lodgepole Pine Regeneration 108 long term monitoring plots across the range of lodgepole pine in Alberta



Purpose of RLP Project

x Forecast and monitor the growth and yield of harvestorigin lodgepole pine, in relation to:

- x Site
- Initial spacing of planted stock
- » Natural regeneration
- x Mortality
- x Vegetation control (weeding)
- » Density regulation (pre-commercial thinning)

x Provide improved basis for forecasting achievement of establishment and performance targets



Installation Layout – Split-plot



Project 2: RLP Plot Installations

408 Plots span the range of Lodgepole Pine in Alberta

- **5 Year Results**
 - Reported and Successfully modeled
- 7 year Results - Summer 2009





Research Strategy

- **x** Compare mortality and ingress results with other studies
- **x Relate mortality/ growth to climate variables**
- x Encourage academic participation in development and testing of mathematical models
- x Encourage extension of model development to other species
- **x Expedite collection, loading and analysis of 7 year results**
- x Bring in silviculture experts to assist in interpretation/ application of results

5-year Results RLP (3 measurements)

Effect of Controlled Factors (Site, Planting Density, Vegetation Management)



5-year Results: Weed versus Leave





5-year Results: Highly Correlated Variables

x Height and diameter growth:

- x Soil nutrient regime
- x Site preparation method
- Site index (of fire-origin stand)
- Cultural Treatment (weed, thin)

x Mortality

- x Site preparation method
- x Climate
- Insects

${\bf x}$ Natural regeneration

- x Site preparation method
- x Initial cone count
- Latitude (-), elevation (+), slope percent (+)
- Size of deciduous competition
- Shrub-herb percent cover and height



Climate Change

- x Impacts sustainability (+/-)
- x Need to understand forest growth implications
 - Prediction of regeneration success
 - x Silvicultural investment risks
 - Implications of climate change for silvicultural practice
- x Preliminary analysis of RLP data, "Interim Technical Note, February 2009"
 - Trends identified (poor v. medium-rich sites)
 - More work required (relative trends in natural regeneration)

Mortality as a function of Mean Annual Temperature

Ledum (poor)



Other (medium-low to rich)

Figure 2. Trend of mortality with temperature on all other sites after 5 growing seasons







Historic Trial Report 2006





Example: Gregg Trial Analysis 2007/08

- x CFS Plots established in 7 year old fire origin stand in 1963
- x Now 52 years old
- x Simulates reforestation spacing
- **x** Analysis
 - x Quicknote #10, April 2008
- x Results align with other studies:



- Regenerated stands are more productive than fire origin
 where densities are moderated and with improved site occupancy
- ^x Poor sites have greater response to treatment i.e. they do not self-thin
- Spacing may have negative effects on better sites should place greater emphasis on site occupancy and competition control



Project 6: Enhanced Management of Lodgepole Pine

Sub-project 1: Nutrition and Density Management Studies

Subsampling and Treatment of 15 young, 15 mid-to-late rotation fire origin stands

Collaborative project with U of A Vic Lieffers





Project 6, Subproject Nutrition and Density Management

Which stands/conditions respond best to fertilization?

estio

. What yield increases/can be expected from them?

Project 6: Enhanced Management of Lodgepole Pine

Sub- project 2: Lodgepole Pine Response to Aspen Competition

18 installations (2006, 2007 6 in each of 3 age classes (10-20; 20-30; 30-40)

Collaborative project with U of A Phil Comeau





Pine/aspen Trial Objectives/Questions

- x Develop models for estimating effects of amount of aspen on growth of lodgepole pine
 - * How serious are the effects of aspen and what are threshold densities?
 - * Upper foothills vs lower foothills?
 - What variables (and CI's) are useful for modeling competitive effects?
 - Inter vs intraspecific competition?



Project 6: Enhanced Management of Lodgepole Pine

Sub- project 2: Lodgepole Pine Response to Aspen Competition Quicknote #11, 2008

Aw impacts PI diameter growth more in LF sites

PI intraspecific competition > impact on diameter growth than Aw







Project 7: Regeneration Management in a Mountain Pine Beetle Environment

Managed by Program Lead, Mountain Pine Beetle Research, Foothills Research Institute

- x Regeneration and stand development pathways and options will be more complex
 - x Understanding them is critical to mitigation/ amelioration
- x Seeking to maintain forest values and a viable forest enterprise
- Developing expert system / decision-support tools incorporating disparate information and knowledge;



..... we will be dealing with more complex stand conditions, responses and options.

The challenge:

Mitigate timber supply impacts using knowledge of growth & yield and stand dynamics following MPB infestation replacement fire

Mixed multistory stand

Residual overstory and regeneration Open-nanopy even-sged stand

Closed-canopy even-aged stand

The basile unique de

montality

Questions, comments?

Jack Wright, 1981