NEPTUNE Training Session: Part 1: Background

Foothills Model Forest Natural Disturbance Program

September, 2006

Dr. David Andison





New Emulation **P**lanning lool for Understanding Natural Events

Outline:

- 1. The FMF Natural Disturbance Program
- 2. The Natural Pattern Concept
- 3. A New Spatial Language

Foothills Model Forest Foothills Model Forest Alberta Grande Prairie Edmonton Hinton Red Deer Calgary Medicine Hat Lethbridge 50 75 100 Kilometers FMF Boundary 25 400 Kilometers 300 100 200

The FMF Natural Disturbance Program

 Understand and integrate into forest land management the patterns and process of natural disturbance.

• Partner-driven, science-based and solution oriented.

- Long-term vision / plan.
- 3 Main Classes of Projects:
 - Research
 - Integration
 - Communication / Education

Research Group Question: What are the patterns and processes of natural disturbances? - research.

Model Forest Question: What are the patterns and processes of ND's *and the most relevant issues pertaining to the integration and use of the natural pattern strategy*?

 research + education + communication + demonstration + integration + facilitation.

The ND Program Scope Spans All Spatial Scales



NDP Program Partners

- Hinton Wood Products West Fraser
- Jasper National Park
- Alberta Sustainable Resource Development
- Alberta Newsprint Co.

Project Partners:

- Weyerhaeuser
- Blue Ridge
- Sundre Forest Products
- Millar-Western
- U. of Alberta
- U. of BC
- etc...

The FMF Natural Disturbance Program: Why?

A common desire among partners to *maintain biodiversity* by adopting a strategy of emulating natural, historical patterns of disturbance.

= defining some "coarse" filters for decision-making.

A Hierarchy of Needs

What is the natural pattern concept all about, and (how) is it relevant to my world?

Do I need to learn new terminology to understand or use natural patterns?

What are the patterns and processes of natural disturbance?

Give me some working examples of what a natural pattern-inspired disturbance plan looks like.

(How) Are natural disturbance dynamics critical to other known, important ecological processes?

(How) Do natural-inspired disturbance patterns fit with other economic and social values?

Will the current system / budget / people allow natural patterns to happen?

How do patterns of past, current, and future cultural disturbances compare to those of wildfires?

Give me some operational tools with which to help me design landscapes with natural patterns in mind.

Theory Language Knowledge **Examples** Relevance Convergence Acceptance Tools

Where did the Natural Disturbance Model Come From?

• A strategy to *maintain biodiversity*.

How Does One Maintain Biodiversity?

Option 1: Leave. Move out, stop harvesting, and let natural processes take over.

Option 2: "Manage" for biodiversity values. A) issue-based vs. B) coarse filter approaches.



We are most familiar and comfortable dealing with specific and direct issues, such as species extinctions, soil erosion, or old growth.

= "Issue-Based Approach"

(which to some = "fine filter")

Ecological Issue #1: Pine Marten Ecological Issue #2: Moose Ecological Issue #3: Soil erosion Ecological Issue #4: Productivity

Mgmt. Objectives

Economic Issue #1: Woodflow Economic Issue #2: Local jobs Economic Issue #3: Outfitters Economic Issue #4: Reduce waste

...etc

Social Issue #1: Recreation Social Issue #2: Hunting opp. Social Issue #3: Local jobs Social Issue #4: TEKetc

... etc

Advantages to the Issue-Based Approach

- Long history of research
- Target most important issues
- Aids species understanding
- Concepts easily grasped

Weakness of the Issue-Based Approach

• Selective & subjective.

- Several million species in Canada, of which we have only named a fraction.

- Are the ones we have not yet found / studied / named important???









Who is "in"?



Who is more important?











Weakness of the Issue-Based Approach

• Targets, goals are subjective

 How many Caribou are "good" or "sustainable"?

- What happens below or above that level? (predators, food supply, breeding,)

Weakness of the Issue-Based Approach

• Forces "tradeoff" mentality

Ecological Issue #1: Pine Marten Ecological Issue #2: Moose Ecological Issue #3: Soil erosion Ecological Issue #4: Productivity Moose Mgmt. Target?

Economic Issue #1: Woodflow Economic Issue #2: Local jobs Economic Issue #3: Outfitters Economic Issue #4: Reduce waste Social Issue #1: Recreation Social Issue #2: Hunting opp. Social Issue #3: Local jobs Social Issue #4: TEK

B. Coarse Filter

We can also think of ecological considerations from a more holistic viewpoint.

What historical patterns and structures maintained natural levels of the *entire suite* of issues - known, named, or otherwise?

= "Coarse Filter Approach"

Often associated with the "Natural Range of Variation" (NRV) Note: "Coarse" does not refer to scale!

Advantages to the Coarse Filter Approach

- Study cause vs. effect
 - (ie, no interpretations).
- Quantifiable (ranges)
- Objective
- Solution-space oriented



Weakness of the Coarse Filter Approach

- Is the past a model for the future?
- Will climate change change everything?
- How far back do we go for benchmarks?

Weakness of the Coarse Filter Approach

- If we build it, will they come?
- **Assumes** that there is a direct relationship between patterns and ecological responses.
- Assumes that coming close is good enough.

Weakness of the Coarse Filter Approach

- It is a new science.
- how do we know when we are "doing it"?

Dave's 4 Rules of NRV:

QUESTION 1:



Are we now, or in the future, staying within "natural range of variation" benchmarks, *at any one point in time*?

Red Flag check

QUESTION 2:



Are we representing the full range of natural variation *over time*? ... or just hanging around the bare minimums?

Temporal High-Grade check

QUESTION 3:



Disturbance frequency Seral-stage percentages **Old growth spatio-temporal tendencies Disturbance types / severity** Disturbance event sizes Patch size distribution Patch shape distribution Event mosaics Area of island remnants Numbers of island remnants Island remnant locations **Edge architecture** Within patch heterogeneity **Coarse woody debris Dead & live standing individuals Mineral soil exposure Biomass loads** Soil nutrients **Soil compaction Disturbance probabilities** Water temperatures Water flows Water nutrients

QUESTION 4:

Are we *considering* a complete list of natural patterns..... ...or just a select few?

Cherry-Picking check

Coarse filter <u>vs.</u> Issue Based?

No. They are the perfect complement. Issue-base Weaknesses:

- coverage of species.
- subjectivity.

Coarse-filter weaknesses:

- ecological response assumptions.
- the past as a model for the future.

Patterns Within Wildfires





The Mistohay Experiment:

Mistik Management, Meadow Lake, Saskatchewan



Traditional Plan

2,680 ha harvested in 129 blocks. Ave. patch size = 21 ha (3 – 65 ha range) Total disturbance edge = 326 km. 122 km of roads.



Actual "Natural" Plan

2,678 ha harvested in 31 blocks. Ave. patch size = 84 ha (1 – 1,104 ha range) Total disturbance edge = 167 km. 50 km of roads

A Hierarchy of Needs

What is the natural pattern concept all about, and (how) is it relevant to my world?

Do I need to learn new terminology to understand or use natural patterns?

What are the patterns and processes of natural disturbance?

Give me some working examples of what a natural pattern-inspired disturbance plan looks like.

(How) Are natural disturbance dynamics critical to other known, important ecological processes?

(How) Do natural-inspired disturbance patterns fit with other economic and social values?

Will our current system / budget / people allow natural patterns to happen?

How do patterns of past, current, and future cultural disturbances compare to those of wildfires?

Give me some operational tools with which to help me design landscapes with natural patterns in mind.

Theory Language Knowledge Examples Relevance Convergence Acceptance Tools

15 Patches 28 ha



13 Patches 8,886 ha

Wildfires are patchy,



 \mathcal{A}_{N}

76 Patches 1,163 ha

...residuals are not orderly,

<u>م ا</u>

...and many spatial features are ambiguous.



First Priority: What is a "Wildfire"?



How to Make a Disturbance Event

3

1. Isolate "Disturbed Patches"

How to Make an "Event"

2. Buffer out 250m.

How to Make an "Event"

(Fill in any "holes")

3. Buffer in 250m.

Why use buffering? Why 250m?

- Consistency
- Simplicity
- Repeatability
- Representative
- Well Tested

Original Fire Map

a

2g

3

Disturbance Event

 $\overline{2}$

7 5

23

5

Island Remnants (mapped)

<mark>с</mark>у Д

5

Matrix Remnants (generated)

29 2

5

7

Islands + Matrix = Residuals







Bear River Fire (1974)

Disturbed = 412 ha Matrix Remnants = 62 ha (13%) Island Remnants = 33 ha (7%)

Event = 507 ha (100%)



Falling Horse Fire (1979)

ALL A

Z

Disturbed = 5,819 ha
Matrix Remnants = 872 ha (13%)
Island Remnants = 1,844 ha (28%)
Event = 6,691 ha

Undisturbed Islands Partially Disturbed Islands

0

 \bigcirc





A Hierarchy of Needs

What is the natural pattern concept all about, and (how) is it relevant to my world?

Do I need to learn new terminology to understand or use natural patterns?

What are the patterns and processes of natural disturbance?

Give me some working examples of what a natural pattern-inspired disturbance plan looks like.

(How) Are natural disturbance dynamics critical to other known, important ecological processes?

(How) Do natural-inspired disturbance patterns fit with other economic and social values?

Will our current system / budget / people allow natural patterns to happen?

How do patterns of past, current, and future cultural disturbances compare to those of wildfires?

Give me some operational tools with which to help me design landscapes with natural patterns in mind.

Theory Language Knowledge Examples Relevance Convergence Acceptance Tools