NEPTUNE Training Session: Part 2: Wildfire Patterns

Foothills Model Forest Natural Disturbance Program

September, 2006

Dr. David Andison





Outline:

- 1. Natural patterns of wildfires.
- 2. NEPTUNE origins.
- 3. Natural vs. cultural disturbance patterns.

A Hierarchy of Needs

What is the natural pattern concept all about, and (how) is it relevant to my world?

Do I need to learn new terminology to understand or use natural patterns?

What are the patterns and processes of natural disturbance?

(How) Are natural disturbance dynamics critical to other known, important ecological processes?

Give me some working examples of what a natural pattern-inspired disturbance plan looks like.

(How) Do natural-inspired disturbance patterns fit with other economic and social values?

Will our current system / budget allow natural patterns to happen?

How do patterns of past, current, and future cultural disturbances compare to those of wildfires?

Give me some operational tools with which to help me design landscapes with natural patterns in mind.

Theory Language Knowledge **Examples** Relevance Convergence Acceptance Tools

What are the patterns and processes of natural disturbance?

... at intermediate scales?

Disturbance Sizes & Disturbance Numbers

(In the Alberta Foothills)



Forest Patch Size-Class (ha)

Shape = 2.2

Shape = **2.2**

Shape = 2.8

Event area shapes are simple and increase with increasing event size.



How Many Disturbed Patches are in an Event?

Event Size	WC Alta.	Sask.
<200 ha	3	1
200-1,000 ha	13	3
>1,000 ha	30	10

Disturbed Patches

There is almost always one <u>HUGE</u> patch.

• Alberta average 73%

Figure 13. Size of the Largest Disturbance Patch as a Percentage of Net Disturbed Area in Multi-Patch Events



How Much Event Area is Matrix Remnants?

Event Area in Matrix Remnants for WC Alberta and Saskatchewan



NO RELATIONSHIP TO EVENT SIZE!

How Much Event Area is Island Remnants?

Event Area in Island Remnants for WC Alberta and Saskatchewan



How Much Event Area is Total Residuals?

Event Area in Residuals for WC Alberta and Saskatchewan



Island Density by Size for WC Alberta and Saskatchewan



Island Area by Size for WC Alberta and Saskatchewan



Small Fires Have Higher Levels of Small Island Area

Breakdown of the Area of Island Remnants of Different Sizes by Disturbance Patch Size



Disturbance Patch Size-Class

Figure 18. Number of Island Remnants per Disturbed Patch



Island Mortality Levels for WC Alberta and Saskatchewan



Anatomy of Residuals in Saskatchewan



Anatomy of Residuals in WC Alberta



Islands That Also Form Corridors – 21% (in Sask).



Matrix That Also Form Corridors – 28% (in Sask)



Edge Island Area – 56% (Alberta)



Average Contribution of Event Components by Vegetation Class For Saskatchewan



64% of Event Area Burns

68% of Commercial Forest Burns 53% of Non-Contributing Forest Burns 43% of Non-Forest Burns

	In The Event		Burnt
Comm. Forest	100 ha	(x 68%)	68 ha
Non-Contr. Forest	25 ha	(x 53%)	13 ha
Non-Forest	5 ha	(x 43%)	2 ha
Total	130 ha	(64%)	83 ha

How Do Different Residuals Respond?





Who Needs a Standardized Language or Methods??



Delong & Tanner recent aerial photos of older fires in subboreal BC and a narrow def'n of "island".

Eberhart and Woodard aerial photos taken right after recent fires in Alberta, at a minimum resolution of 2 ha, and inexact def'n of "residual" <u>FMF ND Program</u> aerial photos taken right after the fire of only older fires, a minimum resolution of 0.01 ha, and a broad def'n of "residual".

Name That Polygon.



Island Density by Size for WC Alberta and Saskatchewan



sland Size-Class Island Area by Size for WC Alberta and Saskatchewan



Small Fires Have Higher Levels of Small Island Area

Breakdown of the Area of Island Remnants of Different Sizes by Disturbance Patch Size



Disturbance Patch Size-Class

Island Mortality Levels for WC Alberta and Saskatchewan



Island Mortality Levels

... and large events have more island area with high levels of mortality.

Areas in Island Remnants by Fire Area for 3 Alberta Studies



All 3 are "right", but applied 3 different methods, and 3 different sets of definitions.

The Desire for a Tool for Disturbance Event Planning

- 10 years of research!
- Comfortable with the concept
- The confidence that it is accepted, and here to stay.
- Desire to understand how current disturbances differ from historical.
- The spatial language is more involved and less directly applicable than originally imagined.

• Eager get more involved with the nuts and bolts.

A Hierarchy of Needs

What is the natural pattern concept all about, and (how) is it relevant to my world?

Do I need to learn new terminology to understand or use natural patterns?

What are the patterns and processes of natural disturbance?

Give me some working examples of what a natural pattern-inspired disturbance plan looks like.

(How) Are natural disturbance dynamics critical to other known, important ecological processes?

(How) Do natural-inspired disturbance patterns fit with other economic and social values?

Will our current system / budget allow natural patterns to happen?

How do patterns of past, current, and future cultural disturbances compare to those of wildfires?

Give me some operational tools with which to help me design landscapes with natural patterns in mind.

Theory Language Knowledge **Examples** Relevance Convergence Acceptance Tools

Tool Design is Everything

Recall:

- If we build it, will they come?
- Is the past a model for the future?
- It is a new science:
 - Red flag check
 - Temporal high grade check
 - Spatial high grade check
 - Cherry-picking check

NEPTUNE

ArcGIS Tool that automates the conversion of shapefiles of disturbances into the new spatial language, and compares patterns to NRV for:

- Event size
- Event shape
- No. of disturbed patches
- Size of largest disturbed patch
- Disturbed patch shape
- Pct area in matrix remnant
- Pct area in island remnant by event
- Pct area in island remnant by dist. patch
- Pct area in total residuals
- Island sizes

Cherrypicking



NEPTUNE

Temporal Highgrading Output saved, stored, and compared as shapefiles and Excel spreadsheets.

- Does not make decisions or suggestions.
- The only output format is frequency distributions.
- Currently calibrated for WC Alberta and western Saskatchewan.
- Partner list; ASRD, HWP, ANC, Mistik Management, + ? more?

NEPTUNE is Just a Model (So Be Careful)

- It will almost always give you an "answer".
- It must simplify the messy world.
- There is no right or best solution.
- It is impact / value-neutral.

"DECISION-SUPPORT"

NRV Does Not Translate Perfectly to CRV (So Be Even More Careful)

- Linear disturbances
- Timelines
- Permanency
- Overlapping disturbance events
- Conventions vs. spatial language
- Resolution

NEPTUNE *Can Help* **Address** These Gaps:

- What does a natural pattern inspired plan look like?
- (To what degree) Will the current "system" allow it to happen?
- Are there economic or social barriers / convergences?
- How does NRV compare to current practices (CRV)?
- Help me design a *more* natural disturbance plan.

Potential NEPTUNE Uses

- Learning.
- Communicating.
- Operational harvest planning.
- Prescribed burn planning.
- Harvest / PB monitoring.
- Strategic planning "state of the forest"
- Assistance for regulators.
- Administration / accounting.
- Hypothesis generation for issue-based questions.
- ???