



Course Outline & Background

3-day Short Course

Natural Disturbance Approaches to Land Management Planning

Background

Interest in natural disturbance (ND) patterns has grown at a rapid pace over the last 10 years within all of Canada. New research results on natural disturbance patterns or processes are quickly absorbed by an ever-broadening audience of forest landscape managers, planners, regulators, scientists, and the public. And although the work is far from complete, a substantial collection of natural disturbance knowledge has been compiled.

The attraction to natural disturbance emulation strategies is understandable. Such knowledge can potentially be used as ecologically-defendable “coarse filters” to help objectively guide forest management decision-making. Consider the prospect of choosing and managing towards a landscape design that is not only described in familiar and direct terms of vegetation structure and composition, but is (at least theoretically) based on a form of ecological integrity.

We have also observed some remarkable convergences between natural patterns and sustainable forest management (SFM) objectives. For example, natural patterns demonstrate a logical and positive link to fire threat mitigation, large mammal habitat, avian habitat, aesthetics, access planning, old growth management, and even harvesting costs. This list alone represents a tremendous opportunity. Furthermore, natural patterns are by their very nature variable, thus allowing for the flexibility to accommodate, as opposed to compete with, other management objectives.

However, despite its potential, using natural disturbance patterns to help forest land management is still a fragile proposal. There is broad agreement by forest and other land managers that the concept of using natural patterns to guide management decisions is a good idea. However, there is a significant gap on how, where, when, and even if natural patterns should be applied in land management decision-making. This disparity could potentially affect the quality of forest, park, or other land management activities as they relate to the ultimate goal of SFM. For example, small differences in levels of understanding, perception, or the meaning of natural disturbance terms can lead to disagreements, prolonged approval process, and the rejection of what might be progressive plans. These in turn lead to the erosion of trust, decreased likelihood of achieving innovative objectives, and the adoption of more conventional rules.

Such are the growing pains of any new idea. Everyone, without exception, is struggling with practical ND integration issues today. The solution for other jurisdictions has been to develop prescriptive “guidelines” that mandate the details of how, what, and where to harvest. However, this solution does not always allow for exploration and experimentation, or necessarily a true understanding of the value of coarse-filter knowledge. It removes the need for looking for creative, viable solutions, and natural patterns become yet another set of variables thrown into the planning melting pot.

We strongly support a more flexible approach to moving forward with ND integration. However, we suggest at this point that the answers lay less in science than they do education and communication (based on sound science). By exposing everyone to the same basic general level of knowledge about natural range of variation (NRV), we are better able to build a universal foundation of understanding and language. Education is one of the critical first steps towards the successful integration of any new ideas. The flexible learn-as-you-go method that we have adopted by default may work over time, but at the risk of not realizing the full potential of natural disturbance decision-making systems (whatever that may be). The worst-case scenario is that it becomes another fad because the communication gap cannot be overcome.

The course will cover the basics, including nomenclature, the theoretical underpinnings, examples of comparisons with current practices, different models of integrating it, an overview of research challenges, and research output interpretation. Furthermore, the challenging nature of NRV knowledge is such that the course will emphasize learning by doing through hands-on exercises. We intend this course for virtually all levels and types of decision-makers and regulators to allow them to create, discuss, debate, and resolve planning integration issues *together* relating to natural patterns.

Dr. David Andison,
Course Director

Course Goal

To develop a common and basic understanding about the application of natural disturbance patterns as it relates to forest land management planning and monitoring among land management professionals.

Day 1 Establish conceptual framework

Day 2 Real-life examples

Day 3 Design natural disturbance management scenarios

Course Workbook - Table of Contents

Short Course: A Primer on Natural Disturbance Emulation.....	iii
Background	iii
Course Goal	iv

Learning Outcome #1: Explain the conceptual underpinnings, assumptions, strengths and weaknesses of using the natural disturbance model within forest management.....	1
---	---

Learning Step 1 . Describe philosophical/historical approaches to achieve forest management	1
European experience.....	1
The coming of settlers to North America.....	1
Forests are inexhaustible.....	2
Forests have their limits	2
Steady-state model.....	3
Concept of sustained yield.....	3
Concept of multiple-use	5
Forests are dynamic	6
Concept of sustainable forest management	6
What is sustainability?	7
World examples of the “three pillars of sustainability” – unsustainable examples..	11
What is sustainable forest management (SFM)?	12
Ecosystem health and ecosystem integrity	16
Natural disturbance model.....	17

Learning Step 2 .. Distinguish the natural disturbance model as the fundamental ecological framework of sustainable forest management.....	18
Biodiversity (biological diversity)	18
Emulation.....	19
What is Disturbance?.....	19
Characteristics of disturbances and disturbance regimes (processes).....	20
Dynamics over time and space.....	21
Disturbances leave patterns	21
Ecological differences between the effects of wildfires and clearcut harvesting	24
Landscape level management practices.....	26
Stand level management practices	26
Risks.....	27
Natural range of variation	27
Coarse-filter approaches	32
Fine-filter approach.....	32
Forests of Canada	34

Learning Step 3 Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of using the natural disturbance model for forest management.....	37
Learning Outcome 2	Compare and contrast natural disturbance based approaches to traditional approaches to forest management
	46
Learning Step 1 Apply principles of natural disturbance to forest management issue	46
Learning Step 2 Assess the consistency between concept and practice.....	47
Case Scenario #1	47
Case Scenario #2	47
Case Scenario #3	47
Case Scenario #4	47
Case Scenario #5	47
Learning Step 3 How are management scenarios generated?.....	48
Forest management plan.....	48
Learning Step 4 Identify information and data needs required to choose between alternative management scenarios	55
Learning Step 5 Debate the merits and disadvantages of traditional and natural disturbance approaches.....	56
References:	58
Learning Outcome 3	Design and evaluate natural disturbance based management scenarios
	59
Learning Step 1 Design scenario management plans	59
Learning Step 2 Interpret designs in context of current practices	60
Learning Step 3 Discuss challenges for implementation	61



Box 6330 Hinton
 Alberta Canada T7V 1X6
 T: 780.865.8330
 F: 780.865.8331
foothillsresearchinstitute.ca