MPB Management Tools in Alberta and Research Priorities

Dan Lux

Provincial Mountain Pine Beetle Coordinator



Mountain Pine Beetle Action Plan for Alberta



Alberta

ACTION PLAN for Alberta

Prime Objectives

- 1. Prevent the spread north and south along the eastern slopes of Alberta, and
- 2. Prevent the spread eastward into the boreal forest of lodgepole-jack pine hybrid and jack pine forests.

an an inder a statika fahara kan dita di sa di sa kata kan baha ka kata kan baha kan baha kan baha kan baha ka

Mountain Pine Beetle Management Strategy

Beetle Strategy Aggressively detect, survey and control infested trees.

Tactics

- a. Level 1 = Single Tree Treatment
- b. Level 2 = Harvest

Healthy Pine Strategy Pre-emptively reduce the number of highly susceptible stands.

Tactics

- Prescribed Burns
- Harvest







Decision Support System

- Developed in 2007 under consultation with CFS
- Integrates beetle population parameters and forest metrics
- Sites identified during aerial surveys are ranked according to their risk of infestation spread
- Ensures that operational priorities are sciencebased and are applied systematically across the province



Decision Support System

Sites are ranked according to:

- MPB Management Zone
- Number of infested trees
 - Aerial surveys and Green:Red ratios
- Stand Susceptibility
- r-value
- Connectivity



MPB Management Zones

Define the level of management and control strategies:

- Leading edge Zone
- Holding Zone
- Salvage (limited Action) Zone

Areas are redefined every year, based on

- Current status and risk of MPB spread
- Risk of MPB immigration
- Management objectives currently achievable





Decision Support System

Sites are ranked according to:

- MPB Management Zone
- Number of infested trees
 - Aerial surveys and Green:Red ratios



Aerial Surveys

- Completed between August 15 and September 15
- Detailed Heli-GPS of fading trees across the Province.









When do trees fade



Green:Red 2007

- Collected during aerial surveys
- Multiplied with the number of red trees to estimate the number of currently infested trees at a site





Decision Support System

Sites are ranked according to:

- MPB Management Zone
- Number of infested trees
 - Aerial surveys and Green:Red ratios
- Stand Susceptibility



Susceptibility

- Based on Shore & Safranyik (1992):
 - % of susceptible pine basal area (DBH≥15cm)
 - Age
 - Stand density
 - Location factor
- Exchanged Lat/Long for Index of Climatic Suitability (Carroll et al., 2004):

Climate data converted to monthly normals from 30-year means and extreme minima and maxima





High Priority Research Areas #1

Stand susceptibility - revise ratings in relation to new climate models



Decision Support System

Sites are ranked according to:

- MPB Management Zone
- Number of infested trees
 - Aerial surveys and Green: Red ratios
- Stand Susceptibility
- r-value
 - population trend
 - dependent on summer development and all mortality factors



r-value calculations Lifecycle Map

- Calculated degree-days for 1 August 2006 July 31 2007 using BioSIM (Régnière & Saint-Amant)
- 833 degree-days above 5.5°C is the minimum required for a population to be univoltine (adapted from Reid 1962)
- Used in conjunction with a map of mean and standard deviation of degree-days for last 5 years







r-value calculations Winter Mortality

- Major determinant of r-values
- B. Cooke (CFS) tested winter-kill model (J. Régnière & B. Bentz, 2007)
- Simulates cold tolerance of MPB using daily weather data
- Captured large scale trend in 2007 survival data well
- A large amount of local variation remained unexplained



Winter Mortality 2007 / 2008

During winter 2007/2008 SRD received updated mortality maps after each cold spell

Model predicted that cold-snap end of January caused 95 -100% mortality in Northern Alberta

Privileged communication (Régnière, Bentz & Cooke 2007)







ALLIUI

and the second second second

Conclusions from the last 2 winters

- The model is a very useful by geographic area but it needs to be complemented by field data for fine-tuned local operational decisions
- Due to the high amount of local variation we need to identify those areas with high survival and focus our efforts where the risk of spread remains high
- Hence, we are using the model to inform our site selection for r-value sampling in May

Pine Re

r-values

Collected in May / June to assess population trends

 $R = \frac{larvae + pupae + adults}{entrance \ holes}$ Adapted from FIDS (1970s)



r-values 2007

Large-scale trend of generally high r-values in the south and low rvalues in the north

Large amount of local variation



High Priority Research Areas #2

Development of a model based on degree-days and other climatic factors to predict stage of development and flight period of MPB at a given location.

Such a model will help to prioritize infested stands for control activity and also will be useful in applying the overwintering MPB mortality model.



Decision Support System

Sites are ranked according to:

- MPB Management Zone
- Number of infested trees
- Stand Susceptibility
- r-value



Decision Support System

Sites are ranked according to:

- MPB Management Zone
- Number of infested trees
- Stand Susceptibility
- r-value
- Connectivity



Connectivity

- We developed a connectivity factor under consultation of various experts
- Sum of susceptible area surrounding a point, weighted by distance to the point and the susceptibility of the area
- Assumption: majority of beetles stay within five kilometers of their host trees



Connectivity

Buffer Distance	Weight Factor
500m	1
1000m	0.15
2000m	0.02
5000m	0.001

SSI	SSI Weight Factor
61 +	1
22 – 60	0.75
1-21	0.5
SUSTAINABLE RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT	





Summary

Decision Support System

- Worked well for prioritizing sites for control in 2007
- Under review with CFS for 2008

R-values

- Geographic trend of low r-values in North and high values in South due to winter mortality
- Large amount of variation between trees and sites



Summary Cont.

Green:Red

Indicate continuous immigration into AB

Degree day map

- Delineates 2-year life cycle to areas of high elevation
- Low priority areas

Susceptibility

- Improved by the climatic suitability factor
- Needs to consider more recent data averaged over smaller time frames

Connectivity

Fine-tuned Decision Support System



High Priority Research Areas #3

Monitoring MPB populations - protocol to monitor MPB at low population levels

The current protocols are geared to monitoring outbreak populations. We need to have the protocols modified, if necessary, to monitor low level populations



Healthy Pine Strategy A long-term answer



ACTION PLAN for Alberta

المرادة وملجل ويروح والموروع أخف ومطاورته والمحاولة والمحاو



One Solution



High Priority Research Areas #4

Use of prescribed fire in MPB management - effectiveness

- partially burned trees
- beetle breaks
- timing of burn
- smoke as a deterrent



Medium Priority Research Priorities

Role of pheromones in MPB management

- potential mass trapping; use of anti-aggregation pheromones

Biology and impact of MPB in

- whitebark pine
- Limber pine

Long-range MPB dispersal

Beetle proofing through habitat manipulation



Low Priority Research Priorities

Chemical control of MPB

MPB biology and impact on Jackpine

Genetics – resistant host clones

Mechanical control of beetle-infested wood

Biological control





Natural Range of Age Classes



Natural Range of Age Classes With Current Age Class



Natural Range of Age Classes With Current Age Class

