



References: Species Accounts

fRI Research Bird Conservation Toolkit




Table of contents

Bank Swallow.....	1
Barn Swallow	2
Barred Owl.....	3
Bay-breasted Warbler	4
Black-throated Green Warbler	5
Black-backed Woodpecker	6
Blackpoll Warbler	7
Boreal Chickadee	8
Boreal Owl	9
Brown Creeper	10
Brown Creeper	11
Cape May Warbler	12
Clark's Nutcracker	13
Common Nighthawk.....	14
Connecticut Warbler.....	15
Dusky Grouse	16
Golden-crowned Kinglet	17
Gray Jay	18
Great Gray Owl.....	19
Lewis's Woodpecker	20
Northern Flicker	21
Northern Goshawk	22
Northern Hawk Owl.....	23
Northern Pygmy-Owl.....	24
Northern Saw-whet Owl.....	25
Northern Spotted Owl	26
Olive-sided Flycatcher	27
Osprey	28
Ovenbird	29
Pacific Wren	30
Pileated Woodpecker	31
Pine Grosbeak	32
Rufous Hummingbird.....	33
Rusty Blackbird.....	34
Townsend's Warbler	35
Varied Thrush	36
Western Screech-Owl	37
Western Tanager.....	38
Williamson's Sapsucker	39
Yellow-bellied Sapsucker	40

Bank Swallow

(*Riparia riparia*)

1. Garrison, B. A. 1999. Bank Swallow (*Riparia riparia*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.414>
2. Falconer, M. 2018. Personal Communication. March 22, 2018.
3. ABMI. 2017. Bank Swallow (*Riparia riparia*). ABMI Species Website, version 4.1 Available online: <http://species.abmi.ca/pages/species/birds/BankSwallow.html>
4. Howie, R. 2015. Bank Swallow. in The Atlas of the Breeding Birds of British Columbia, 2008-2012 (Davidson, P. J. A., Cannings, R. J., Couturier, A. R., Lepage, D. & Di Corrado, C. M., eds.) Bird Studies Canada, Delta, B.C. Available online: <http://www.birdatlas.bc.ca/accounts/speciesaccount.jsp?sp=BKSW&lang=en>
5. COSEWIC. 2013. COSEWIC Assessment and Status Report on the Bank Swallow *Riparia riparia* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, Ottawa. ix + 48 pp. Available online: www.registrelep-sararegistry.gc.ca/default_e.cfm
6. Poulin, B., Lefebvre, G. & Paz, L. 2010. Red flag for green spray: adverse trophic effects of Bti on breeding birds. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 47: 884–889.
7. Kirk, D. A., Lindsay, K. E. & Brook, R. W. 2011. Risk of agricultural practices and habitat change to farmland birds. *Avian Conservation and Ecology* 6: 5.
8. OMNR. 2010. Forest Management Guide for Conserving Biodiversity at the Stand and Site Scales- Background and Rationale for Direction. Queen's Printer for Ontario, Toronto, ON. 575 pp.
9. OMNRF. 2017. Best Management Practices for the Protection, Creation and Maintenance of Bank Swallow Habitat in Ontario. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. Queen's Printer for Ontario, 37 pp.
10. Bonar, R. 2018. Personal communication. April 6, 2018
11. Ministry of Transportation. 2017. MTO Best Management Practices for Species at Risk Protection During Maintenance Activities. Ontario Ministry of Transportation, Policy and Planning Division, Transportation Planning Branch- Environmental Policy Office. Available online: [http://www.raqsa.mto.gov.on.ca/techpubs/eps.nsf/0/1867bb469de0c3fa852580b8006c3359/\\$FILE/MTO](http://www.raqsa.mto.gov.on.ca/techpubs/eps.nsf/0/1867bb469de0c3fa852580b8006c3359/$FILE/MTO) BMP Manual for SAR Protection During Maintenance Jan 2017 Final ACC.pdf

Barn Swallow

(*Hirundo rustica*)

1. Falconer, M. 2018. Personal Communication. April 3, 2018
2. Brown, C. R. & Brown, M. B. 1999. Barn Swallow (*Hirundo rustica*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.452>
3. B.C. Conservation Data Centre. 2017. Species Summary: *Hirundo rustica*. Available online: <http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/reports.do?elcode=ABPAU09030>
4. ABMI. 2017. Barn Swallow (*Hirundo rustica*). ABMI Species Website, version 4.1 Available online: <http://species.abmi.ca/pages/species/birds/BarnSwallow.html>
5. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 9 Pacific and Yukon Region: Great Basin. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Delta, British Columbia. 105 pages + appendices.
6. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 10 in Pacific and Yukon Region- Northern Rockies. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Delta, British Columbia. 109 pages + appendices.
7. Ministry of Transportation. 2017. MTO Best Management Practices for Species at Risk Protection During Maintenance Activities. Ontario Ministry of Transportation, Policy and Planning Division, Transportation Planning Branch- Environmental Policy Office. Available online: [http://www.raqsa.mto.gov.on.ca/techpubs/eps.nsf/0/1867bb469de0c3fa852580b8006c3359/\\$FILE/MTO](http://www.raqsa.mto.gov.on.ca/techpubs/eps.nsf/0/1867bb469de0c3fa852580b8006c3359/$FILE/MTO) BMP Manual for SAR Protection During Maintenance Jan 2017 Final ACC.pdf
8. OMNRF. 2017. Best Management Practices for the Protection, Creation and Maintenance of Bank Swallow Habitat in Ontario. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. Queen's Printer for Ontario, 37 pp.
9. Ferguson, G. 2014. Beneficial Management Practices for Barn Swallow (*Hirundo rustica*). The British Columbia Swallow Conservation Project. Available online: <https://bcswallowconservationproject.wordpress.com/swallow-best-management-practices/>
10. Bonar, R. 2018. Personal communication. April 6, 2018

Barred Owl

(*Strix varia*)

1. Mazur, K. M. & James, P. C. 2000. Barred Owl (*Strix varia*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.508>
2. Alberta Sustainable Resource Development. 2005. Status of the barred owl (*Strix varia*) in Alberta. Alberta Sustainable Resource Development, Fish and Wildlife Division, and Alberta Conservation Association, Wildlife Status Report No. 56, Edmonton, AB. 15 pp.
3. Alberta Environment and Parks. 2016. Barred Owl Conservation Management Plan 2016-2021. Alberta Environment and Parks. Species at Risk Conservation Management Plan No. 14, Edmonton, AB. 10 pp. Available online: <http://aep.alberta.ca/fish-wildlife/species-at-risk/species-at-risk-publications-web-resources/birds/documents/SAR-BarredOwl-ManagementPlan-Mar-2016.pdf>
4. Cannings, R. J. 2015. Barred Owl. in The Atlas of the Breeding Birds of British Columbia, 2008-2012 (Davidson, P. J. A., Cannings, R. J., Couturier, A. R., Lepage, D. & Di Corrado, C. M., eds.) Bird Studies Canada, Delta, B.C. Available online: <http://www.birdatlas.bc.ca/accounts/speciesaccount.jsp?sp=BDOW&lang=en>
5. Olsen, B. T., Hannon, S. J. & Court, G. S. 2006. Short-term response of breeding barred owls to forestry in a boreal mixedwood forest landscape. Avian Conservation and Ecology 1: 1. Available online: <http://www.ace-eco.org/vol1/iss3/art1/>
6. Bonar, R. 2018. Personal communication. April 6, 2018
7. Piorecky, M. D. & Prescott, D. R. C. 2004. Distribution, abundance and habitat selection of northern pygmy and barred owls along the eastern slopes of the Alberta Rocky Mountains. Alberta Sustainable Resource Development, Fish and Wildlife Division, Alberta Species at Risk Report No. 91, Edmonton, AB. 26 pp.
8. OMNR. 2010. Forest Management Guide for Conserving Biodiversity at the Stand and Site Scales- Background and Rationale for Direction. Queen's Printer for Ontario, Toronto, ON. 575 pp.
9. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 6: Boreal Taiga Plains. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Edmonton, Alberta. 288 pp.
10. Bonar, R. 2018. Personal Communication.

Bay-breasted Warbler

(*Setophaga castanea*)

1. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 6: Boreal Taiga Plains. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Edmonton, Alberta. 288 pp.
2. Schieck, J. & Song, S. J. 2006. Changes in bird communities throughout succession following fire and harvest in boreal forests of western North America: literature review and meta-analyses. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 36: 1299–1318. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/x06-017>
3. Cumming, E. E. & Diamond, A. W. 2002. Songbird community composition versus forest rotation age in Saskatchewan boreal mixedwood forest. The Canadian Field-Naturalist 116: 69–75. Available online: <http://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/109250>
4. Venier, L., Holmes, S. & Williams, J. M. 2011. Bay-breasted Warbler (*Setophaga castanea*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.206>
5. Cooper, J. M. & Beauchesne, S. M. 2004. Bay-breasted Warbler. in Accounts and Measures for Managing Identified Wildlife (Version 2004) Biodiversity Branch, Identified Wildlife Management Strategy, Victoria, BC. Available online: http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/frpa/iwms/documents/Birds/b_baybreastedwarbler.pdf
6. Norton, M. R. 2001. Status of the Bay-breasted Warbler (*Dendroica castanea*) in Alberta. Alberta Environment, Fisheries and Wildlife Management Division, and Alberta Conservation Association, Wildlife Status Report No. 32, Edmonton, AB. 21 pp.
7. Leston, L., Bayne, E. & Schmiegelow, F. 2018. Long-term changes in boreal forest occupancy within regenerating harvest units. Forest Ecology and Management 421: 40–53. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.02.029>
8. Drolet, B., Desrochers, A. & Fortin, M.-J. 1999. Effects of Landscape Structure on Nesting Songbird Distribution in a Harvested Boreal Forest. The Condor 101: 699–704.
9. Thompson, I. D., Kirk, D. A. & Jastrebski, C. 2013. Does postharvest silviculture improve convergence of avian communities in managed and old-growth boreal forests? Canada Journal of Forest Research 43: 1050–1062. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2013-0104>
10. Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development. 2014. Black-Throated Green Warbler, Bay-Breasted Warbler and Cape May Warbler Conservation Management Plan 2014-2019. Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development. Species at Risk Conservation Management Plan No. 10, Edmonton, AB. 33 pp.
11. Bonar, R. 2018. Personal communication. April 6, 2018

Black-throated Green Warbler

(*Setophaga virens*)

1. Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development. 2014. Black-Throated Green Warbler, Bay-Breasted Warbler and Cape May Warbler Conservation Management Plan 2014-2019. Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development. Species at Risk Conservation Management Plan No. 10, Edmonton, AB. 33 pp.
2. Norton, M. R. 1999. Status of the Black-throated Green Warbler (*Dendroica virens*) in Alberta: Alberta wildlife status report no. 23. Alberta Environment, Fisheries and Wildlife Management Division, and Alberta Conservation Association, Wildlife Status Report No. 23, Edmonton, AB. 24 pp. Available online: <http://www.gov.ab.ca/env/fw/status/reports/index.htm>
3. Cooper, J. M., Enns, K. A. & Shepard, M. G. 1997. Status of the Black-throated green warbler in British Columbia. Wildlife Working Report No. WR-80 British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks, Wildlife Working Report No. WR-80, Victoria, B.C. 38 pp.
4. Boreal Avian Modelling Project. 2012. Black-throated Green Warbler: Relative Density by Habitat. Available online: http://www.borealbirds.ca/avian_db/accounts.php/Setophaga+virens/habitat
5. Morse, D. H. & Poole, A. F. 2005. Black-throated Green Warbler (*Setophaga virens*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.55>
6. Venier, L. A. & Pearce, J. L. 2007. Boreal forest landbirds in relation to forest composition, structure, and landscape: implications for forest management. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 37: 1214–1226.
7. Schieck, J. & Song, S. J. 2006. Changes in bird communities throughout succession following fire and harvest in boreal forests of western North America: literature review and meta-analyses. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 36: 1299–1318. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/x06-017>
8. Schieck, J., Stuart-Smith, K. & Norton, M. 2000. Bird communities are affected by amount and dispersion of vegetation retained in mixedwood boreal forest harvest areas. Forest Ecology and Management 126: 239–254.
9. Leston, L., Bayne, E. & Schmiegelow, F. 2018. Long-term changes in boreal forest occupancy within regenerating harvest units. Forest Ecology and Management 421: 40–53. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.02.029>
10. Rail, J.-F., Darveau, M., Desrochers, A. & Huot, J. 1997. Territorial responses of boreal forest birds to habitat gaps. The Condor 99: 976–980. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1370150>
11. Tittler, R., Hannon, S. J. & Norton, M. R. 2001. Residual tree retention ameliorates short-term effects of clear-cutting on some boreal songbirds. Ecological Applications 11: 1656–1666.
12. Norton, M. R. & Hannon, S. J. 1997. Songbird response to partial-cut logging in the boreal mixedwood forest of Alberta. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 27: 44–53. Available online: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/x96-149>
13. Darveau, M., Beauchesne, P., Bélanger, L., Huot, J. & Larue, P. 1995. Riparian forest strips as habitat for breeding birds in boreal forest. The Journal of Wildlife Management 59: 67–78. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3809117>

Black-backed Woodpecker

(*Picoides arcticus*)

1. Saab, V. A., Russell, R. E. & Dudley, J. G. 2007. Nest densities of cavity-nesting birds in relation to postfire salvage logging and time since wildfire. *The Condor* 109: 97–108. Available online: [http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1650/0010-5422\(2007\)109\[97:NDOCBI\]2.0.CO;2](http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1650/0010-5422(2007)109[97:NDOCBI]2.0.CO;2)
2. Koivula, M. J. & Schmiegelow, F. K. A. 2007. Boreal woodpecker assemblages in recently burned forested landscapes in Alberta, Canada: Effects of post-fire harvesting and burn severity. *Forest Ecology and Management* 242: 606–618. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2007.01.075>
3. Hoyt, J. S. & Hannon, S. J. 2002. Habitat associations of black-backed and three-toed woodpeckers in the boreal forest of Alberta. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 32: 1881–1888.
4. Tremblay, J. A., Dixon, R. D., Saab, V. A., Pyle, P. & Patten, M. A. 2016. Black-backed Woodpecker (*Picoides arcticus*), version 3.0. in *The Birds of North America* (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.bkbwoo.03>
5. Saab, V. A. & Dudley, J. G. 1998. Responses of cavity-nesting birds to stand-replacement fire and salvage logging in ponderosa pine/Douglas-fir forests of southwestern Idaho. Research Paper RMRS-RP-11, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ogen, UT. 17 pp. Available online: <https://www.fs.usda.gov/treearch/pubs/23853>
6. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 6: Boreal Taiga Plains. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Edmonton, Alberta. 288 pp.
7. Rota, C. T., Rumble, M. A., Lehman, C. P., Kesler, D. C. & Millsbaugh, J. J. 2015. Apparent foraging success reflects habitat quality in an irruptive species, the Black-backed Woodpecker. *The Condor* 117: 178–191.
8. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 9 Pacific and Yukon Region: Great Basin. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Delta, British Columbia. 105 pages + appendices.
9. Saab, V. A., Russell, R. E., Rotella, J. & Dudley, J. G. 2011. Modeling nest survival of cavity-nesting birds in relation to postfire salvage logging. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 75: 794–804.
10. Drapeau, P., Nappi, A., Imbeau, L. & Saint-Germain, M. 2009. Standing deadwood for keystone bird species in the eastern boreal forest: Managing for snag dynamics. *Forestry Chronicle* 85: 227–234.
11. Bonar, R. 2018. Personal communication. April 6, 2018

Blackpoll Warbler

(*Setophaga striata*)

1. DeLuca, W., Holberton, R., Hunt, P. D. & Eliason, B. C. 2013. Blackpoll Warbler (*Setophaga striata*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.431>
2. Schieck, J. & Song, S. J. 2006. Changes in bird communities throughout succession following fire and harvest in boreal forests of western North America: literature review and meta-analyses. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 36: 1299–1318. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/x06-017>
3. ABMI. 2017. Blackpoll Warbler (*Setophaga striata*). ABMI Species Website, version 4.1 Available online: <http://species.abmi.ca/pages/species/birds/BlackpollWarbler.html>
4. Mitchell, G. W., Taylor, P. D. & Warkentin, I. G. 2010. Multiscale Postfledging Habitat Associations of Juvenile Songbirds in a Managed Landscape. The Auk 127: 354–363.
5. Darveau, M., Beauchesne, P., Bélanger, L., Huot, J. & Larue, P. 1995. Riparian forest strips as habitat for breeding birds in boreal forest. The Journal of Wildlife Management 59: 67–78. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3809117>
6. Whitaker, D. M., Carroll, A. L. & Montevecchi, W. A. 2000. Elevated numbers of flying insects and insectivorous birds in riparian buffer strips. Canadian Journal of Zoology 78: 740–747. Available online: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/z99-254>
7. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 6: Boreal Taiga Plains. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Edmonton, Alberta. 288 pp.

Boreal Chickadee

(*Poecile hudsonicus*)

1. Ficken, M. S., McLaren, M. A. & Hailman, J. P. 1996. Boreal Chickadee (*Poecile hudsonicus*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA.
2. Hannon, S. J., Cotterill, S. E. & Schmiegelow, F. K. A. 2004. Identifying rare species of songbirds in managed forests: Application of an ecoregional template to a boreal mixedwood system. *Forest Ecology and Management* 191: 157–170.
3. Schieck, J. & Song, S. J. 2006. Changes in bird communities throughout succession following fire and harvest in boreal forests of western North America: literature review and meta-analyses. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 36: 1299–1318. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/x06-017>
4. Zlonis, E. J., Panci, H. G., Bednar, J. D., Hamady, M. & Niemi, G. J. 2017. Habitats and landscapes associated with bird species in a lowland conifer-dominated ecosystem. *Avian Conservation & Ecology* 12: 7.
5. NCASI. 2006. Synthesis of large-scale bird conservation plans in Canada: A resource for forest managers. Special Report No. 06-05. Research Triangle Park, N.C.: National Council for Air and Stream Improvement, Inc.
6. Thompson, I. D., Kirk, D. A. & Jastrebski, C. 2013. Does postharvest silviculture improve convergence of avian communities in managed and old-growth boreal forests? *Canada Journal of Forest Research* 43: 1050–1062. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2013-0104>
7. Hadley, A. & Desrochers, A. 2008. Response of wintering Boreal Chickadees (*Poecile hudsonica*) to forest edges: does weather matter? *The Auk* 125: 30–38. Available online: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1525/auk.2008.125.1.30>
8. Leston, L., Bayne, E. & Schmiegelow, F. 2018. Long-term changes in boreal forest occupancy within regenerating harvest units. *Forest Ecology and Management* 421: 40–53. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.02.029>
9. Hadley, A. & Desrochers, A. 2008. Winter habitat use by boreal chickadee flocks in a managed forest. *The Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 120: 139–145.
10. Bayne, E. M. & Nielsen, B. 2011. Temporal trends in bird abundance in response to thinning of lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*). *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 41: 1917–1927. Available online: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/10.1139/x11-113#Wftr0NCnFzB>
11. Mahon, C. L., Steventon, J. D. & Martin, K. 2008. Cavity and bark nesting bird response to partial cutting in northern conifer forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 256: 2145–2153. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2008.08.005>
12. Lance, A. N. & Phinney, M. 2001. Bird responses to partial retention timber harvesting in central interior British Columbia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 142: 267–280.
13. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 4 in Canada: Northwestern Interior Forest. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Whitehorse, Yukon. 138 pp. + appendices.
14. ABMI. 2017. Boreal Chickadee (*Poecile hudsonicus*). ABMI Species Website, version 4.1

Boreal Owl

(*Aegolius funereus*)

1. Hayward, G. D. & Hayward, P. H. 1993. Boreal Owl (*Aegolius funereus*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.63>
2. Herren, V., Anderson, S. H. & Ruggiero, L. E. 1996. Boreal Owl mating habitat in the northwestern United States. Journal of Raptor Research 30: 123–129. Available online: <https://sora-unm.edu/sites/default/files/journals/jrr/v030n03/p00123-p00129.pdf>
3. Korpimäki, E. & Hakkarainen, H. 2012. Old forests increase survival and lifetime reproductive success. Pages 252–259 in The Boreal Owl: Ecology, Behaviour and Conservation of a Forest-Dwelling Predator 252–259. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
4. Korpimäki, E. & Hakkarainen, H. 2012. The Boreal Owl: Ecology, Behaviour and Conservation of a Forest-Dwelling Predator. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
5. Domahidi, Z. 2018. Distribution and breeding ecology of boreal and northern saw-whet owls in the Boreal forests of Alberta, Canada. [M.Sc.](#) Thesis. Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB. 81 pp.
6. Hayward, G. D., Hayward, P. H. & Garton, E. O. 1993. Ecology of Boreal Owls in the northern Rocky Mountains, U.S.A. Wildlife Monographs 124: 3–59.
7. Hakkarainen, H., Koivunen, V., Korpimäki, E. & Kurki, S. 1996. Clear-cut areas and breeding success of Tengmalm's owls *Aegolius funereus*. Wildlife Biology 2: 253–258. Available online: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Erkki_Korpimaeki/publication/258361179_Clear-cut_areas_and_breeding_success_of_Tengmalm%27s_Owls/links/004635280f7da61585000000/Clear-cut-areas-and-breeding-success-of-Tengmalms-Owls.pdf
8. ABMI. 2017. Boreal Owl (*Aegolius funereus*). ABMI Species Website, version 4.1 Available online: <http://species.abmi.ca/pages/species/birds/BorealOwl.html>

Brown Creeper

(*Certhia americana*)

1. Poulin, J.-F. et al. 2013. Brown Creeper (*Certhia americana*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.669>
2. Alberta Sustainable Resource Development. 2003. Status of the Brown Creeper (*Certhia americana*) in Alberta. Alberta Sustainable Resource Development, Fish and Wildlife Division, and Alberta Conservation Association, Wildlife Status Report No. 49, Edmonton, AB. 30 pp.
3. Hobson, K. A. & Schieck, J. 1999. Changes in bird communities in boreal mixedwood forest: Harvest and wildfire effects over 30 years. *Ecological Applications* 9: 849–863.
4. Bonar, R. 2018. Personal communication. April 6, 2018
5. Vanderwel, M. C., Malcolm, J. R. & Mills, S. C. 2007. A meta-analysis of bird responses to uniform partial harvesting across North America. *Conservation Biology* 21: 1230–1240.
6. Hayes, J. P., Weikel, J. M. & Huso, M. M. P. 2003. Response of birds to thinning young Douglas-fir forests. *Ecological Applications* 13: 1222–1232. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/02-5068>
7. Leston, L., Bayne, E. & Schmiegelow, F. 2018. Long-term changes in boreal forest occupancy within regenerating harvest units. *Forest Ecology and Management* 421: 40–53. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.02.029>
8. Schieck, J., Stuart-Smith, K. & Norton, M. 2000. Bird communities are affected by amount and dispersion of vegetation retained in mixedwood boreal forest harvest areas. *Forest Ecology and Management* 126: 239–254.
9. Rail, J.-F., Darveau, M., Desrochers, A. & Huot, J. 1997. Territorial responses of boreal forest birds to habitat gaps. *The Condor* 99: 976–980. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1370150>
10. Hejl, S. J., Mack, D. E., Young, J. S., Bednarz, J. C. & Hutto, R. L. 2002. Birds and changing landscape patterns in conifer forests of the north-central Rocky Mountains. *Studies in Avian Biology* 25: 113–129. Available online: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Richard_Hutto/publication/267211708_Birds_and_changing_landscape_patterns_in_conifer_forests_of_the_north-central_Rocky_Mountains/links/5447e7370cf2d62c305295ad/Birds-and-changing-landscape-patterns-in-conifer-forests-
11. Geleynse, D. M., Nol, E., Burke, D. M. & Elliott, K. A. 2016. Brown Creeper (*Certhia americana*) demographic response to hardwood forests managed under the selection system. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 46: 499–507. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2015-0112>
12. Rempel, R. S. 2007. Selecting Focal Songbird Species for Biodiversity Conservation Assessment: Response to Forest Cover Amount and Configuration. *Avian Conservation and Ecology* 2: 6. Available online: http://www.forestco-op.ca/files/birds_workshop/ACE-ECO-2007-2.pdf
13. Hagar, J. C. 1999. Influence of riparian buffer width on bird assemblages in western Oregon. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 63: 484–496. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3802633>

Brown Creeper

(*Cardellina canadensis*)

1. Reitsma, L., Goodnow, M., Hallworth, M. T. & Conway, C. J. 2009. Canada Warbler (*Cardellina canadensis*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.421>
2. Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development and Alberta Conservation Association. 2014. Status of the Canada Warbler (*Cardellina canadensis*) in Alberta. Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development. Alberta Wildlife Status Report No. 70, Edmonton, AB. 41 pp.
3. Environment Canada. 2015. Recovery Strategy for Canada Warbler (*Cardellina canadensis*) in Canada. Species at Risk Act Recovery Strategy Series. Environment Canada, Ottawa. vi + 55 pp.
4. Ball, J. R. et al. 2016. Regional habitat needs of a nationally listed species, Canada Warbler (*Cardellina canadensis*), in Alberta. Avian Conservation and Ecology 11: 10. Available online: <http://www.ace-eco.org/vol11/iss2/art10/>
5. Leston, L., Bayne, E. & Schmiegelow, F. 2018. Long-term changes in boreal forest occupancy within regenerating harvest units. Forest Ecology and Management 421: 40–53. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.02.029>
6. Schieck, J. & Song, S. J. 2006. Changes in bird communities throughout succession following fire and harvest in boreal forests of western North America: literature review and meta-analyses. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 36: 1299–1318. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/x06-017>
7. Hunt, A. R., Bayne, E. M. & Haché, S. 2017. Forestry and conspecifics influence Canada Warbler (*Cardellina canadensis*) habitat use and reproductive activity in boreal Alberta, Canada. The Condor 119: 832–847. Available online: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/10.1650/CONDOR-17-35.1>
8. Schieck, J., Stuart-Smith, K. & Norton, M. 2000. Bird communities are affected by amount and dispersion of vegetation retained in mixedwood boreal forest harvest areas. Forest Ecology and Management 126: 239–254.
9. Sleep, D. J. H., Drever, M. C. & Szuba, K. J. 2009. Potential Role of Spruce Budworm in Range-Wide Decline of Canada Warbler. Journal of Wildlife Management 73: 546–555. Available online: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.2193/2008-216>
10. Cooper, J. M., Enns, K. A. & Shepard, M. G. 1997. Status of the Canada Warbler in British Columbia. B.C. Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks, Wildlife Branch. Wildlife Working Report No. WR-81, Victoria, B.C. 38 pp.
11. Norton, M. R. & Hannon, S. J. 1997. Songbird response to partial-cut logging in the boreal mixedwood forest of Alberta. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 27: 44–53. Available online: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/x96-149>
12. Odsen, S. G. 2015. Conserving Boreal Songbirds Using Variable Retention Forest Management. Master of Science. Dept. Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB. 84 pp.
13. Tittler, R., Hannon, S. J. & Norton, M. R. 2001. Residual tree retention ameliorates short-term effects of clear-cutting on some boreal songbirds. Ecological Applications 11: 1656–1666.
14. Venier, L. A., Pearce, J. L., Fillman, D. R., McNicol, D. K. & Welsh, D. A. 2009. Effects of Spruce budworm (*Choristoneura fumiferana* (Clem.)) outbreaks on boreal mixed-wood bird communities. Avian Conservation and Ecology 4: 3.
15. COSEWIC. 2008. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Canada Warbler *Cardellina canadensis* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, Ottawa. vi + 35 pp. Available online: www.sararegistry.gc.ca/status/status_e.cfm

Cape May Warbler

(*Setophaga tigrina*)

1. Baltz, M. E. & Latta, S. C. 1998. Cape May Warbler (*Setophaga tigrina*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.332>
2. Schieck, J. & Song, S. J. 2006. Changes in bird communities throughout succession following fire and harvest in boreal forests of western North America: literature review and meta-analyses. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 36: 1299–1318. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/x06-017>
3. Kirk, D. A. & Hobson, K. A. 2001. Bird–habitat relationships in jack pine boreal forests. Forest Ecology and Management 147: 217–243. Available online: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378112700004655>
4. Venier, L. A., Pearce, J. L., Fillman, D. R., McNicol, D. K. & Welsh, D. A. 2009. Effects of Spruce budworm (*Choristoneura fumiferana* (Clem.)) outbreaks on boreal mixed-wood bird communities. Avian Conservation and Ecology 4: 3.
5. Norton, M. R. 2001. Status of the Cape May Warbler (*Dendroica tigrina*) in Alberta. Alberta Environment, Fisheries and Wildlife Management Division, and Alberta Conservation Association, Wildlife Status Report No. 33, Edmonton, AB. 20 pp.
6. Meiklejohn, B. A. & Hughes, J. W. 1999. Bird communities in riparian buffer strips of industrial forests. American Midland Naturalist 141: 172–184. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2426975>
7. Kardynal, K. J., Hobson, K. A., Van Wilgenburg, S. L. & Morissette, J. L. 2009. Moving riparian management guidelines towards a natural disturbance model: An example using boreal riparian and shoreline forest bird communities. Forest Ecology and Management 257: 54–65.
8. Cooper, J. M., Enns, K. A. & Shepard, M. G. 1997. Status of Cape May warbler in British Columbia. B.C. Environment Wildlife Working Report WR-82: B.C. Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks, Wildlife Branch. Wildlife Working Report No. WR-82, Victoria, B.C. 37 pp.
9. Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development. 2014. Black-Throated Green Warbler, Bay-Breasted Warbler and Cape May Warbler Conservation Management Plan 2014-2019. Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development. Species at Risk Conservation Management Plan No. 10, Edmonton, AB. 33 pp.
10. ABMI. 2017. Cape May Warbler (*Setophaga tigrina*). ABMI Species Website, version 4.1 Available online: <http://species.abmi.ca/pages/species/birds/CapeMayWarbler.html>
11. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 6: Boreal Taiga Plains. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Edmonton, Alberta. 288 pp.

Clark's Nutcracker

(*Nucifraga columbiana*)

1. Tomback, D. F. 1998. Clark's Nutcracker (*Nucifraga columbiana*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.331>
2. Lorenz, T. J. & Sullivan, K. A. 2009. Seasonal differences in space use by Clark's nutcrackers in the Cascade Range. The Condor 111: 326–340. Available online: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1525/cond.2009.080070>
3. Alberta Whitebark and Limber Pine Recovery Team. 2014. Alberta Limber Pine Recovery Plan 2014 – 2019. Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development, Alberta Species at Risk Recovery Plan No. 35, Edmonton, AB. 61 pp. Available online: <http://www.whitebarkpine.ca/uploads/4/4/1/8/4418310/sar-limberpine-recoveryplan-sep2014.pdf>
4. McMurray, N. E. 2008. *Nucifraga columbiana*. in Fire Effects Information System [Online] U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available online: <https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/animals/bird/nuco/all.html>
5. McKinney, S. T., Fiedler, C. E. & Tomback, D. F. 2009. Invasive pathogen threatens bird-pine mutualism: implications for sustaining a high-elevation ecosystem. Ecological Applications 19: 597–607.
6. Keane, R. E. & Parsons, R. A. 2010. Restoring whitebark pine forests of the northern Rocky Mountains, USA. Ecological Restoration 28: 56–70. Available online: https://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs_other/rmrs_2010_keane_r002.pdf
7. Russell, R. E. et al. 2009. Modeling the effects of environmental disturbance on wildlife communities: avian responses to prescribed fire. Ecological Applications 19: 1253–1263. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1890/08-0910.1/abstract>
8. Blouin, F. 2006. The southern headwaters at risk project: a multi-species conservation strategy for the headwaters of the Oldman River. Volume 3: Landscape management- selection and recommendations. Alberta Sustainable Resource Development, Fish and Wildlife Division, Alberta Species at Risk Report No. 105, Edmonton, AB. iv + 34 pp.
9. Schaming, T. D. 2016. Clark's nutcracker breeding season space use and foraging behavior. PLoS ONE 11: 1–20. Available online: <http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0149116>

Common Nighthawk

(*Chordeiles minor*)

1. Brigham, R. M., Ng, J., Poulin, R. G. & Grindal, S. D. 2011. Common Nighthawk (*Chordeiles minor*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA.
2. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 4 in Canada: Northwestern Interior Forest. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Whitehorse, Yukon. 138 pp. + appendices.
3. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 9 Pacific and Yukon Region: Great Basin. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Delta, British Columbia. 105 pages + appendices.
4. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 6: Boreal Taiga Plains. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Edmonton, Alberta. 288 pp.
5. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 10 in Pacific and Yukon Region- Northern Rockies. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Delta, British Columbia. 109 pages + appendices.
6. Environment Canada. 2016. Recovery Strategy for the Common Nighthawk (*Chordeiles minor*) in Canada. Species at Risk Act Recovery Strategy Series Species at Risk Act Recovery Strategy Series. Environment Canada, Ottawa. vii + 49 pp.
7. COSEWIC. 2007. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Common Nighthawk *Chordeiles minor* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, Ottawa. vi + 25 pp.
8. Schieck, J., Stuart-Smith, K. & Norton, M. 2000. Bird communities are affected by amount and dispersion of vegetation retained in mixedwood boreal forest harvest areas. *Forest Ecology and Management* 126: 239–254.
9. Farrell, C. E., Wilson, S. & Mitchell, G. 2017. Assessing the relative use of clearcuts, burned stands, and wetlands as breeding habitat for two declining aerial insectivores in the boreal forest. *Forest Ecology and Management* 386: 62–70. Available online: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0378112716306600>
10. Hagar, J. C., Howlin, S. & Ganio, L. 2004. Short-term response of songbirds to experimental thinning of young Douglas-fir forests in the Oregon Cascades. *Forest Ecology and Management* 199: 333–347.
11. OMNR. 2010. Forest Management Guide for Conserving Biodiversity at the Stand and Site Scales- Background and Rationale for Direction. Queen's Printer for Ontario, Toronto, ON. 575 pp.
12. West Fraser Mills Ltd. 2014. Common Nighthawk Habitat Conservation Strategy (version 1). Hinton Wood Products and Edson Wood Products, West Fraser Mills, Hinton and Edson, Alberta. 14 pp. Available online: [http://www1.agric.gov.ab.ca/\\$department/deptdocs.nsf/ba3468a2a8681f69872569d60073fde1/b911b4f575259b5a87257f6500005ec2/\\$FILE/common-nighthawk-ssc.pdf](http://www1.agric.gov.ab.ca/$department/deptdocs.nsf/ba3468a2a8681f69872569d60073fde1/b911b4f575259b5a87257f6500005ec2/$FILE/common-nighthawk-ssc.pdf)
13. ABMI. 2017. Common Nighthawk (*Chordeiles minor*). ABMI Species Website, version 4.1 Available online: <http://species.abmi.ca/pages/species/birds/CommonNighthawk.html>

Connecticut Warbler

(*Oporornis agilis*)

1. Pitocchelli, J., Jones, J., Jones, D. & Bouchie, J. 2012. Connecticut Warbler (*Oporornis agilis*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.320>
2. Hobson, K. A. & Bayne, E. M. 2000. Breeding bird communities in boreal forest of western Canada: Consequences of 'unmixing' the mixedwoods. *The Condor* 102: 759–769. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1370303>
3. Zlonis, E. J., Panci, H. G., Bednar, J. D., Hamady, M. & Niemi, G. J. 2017. Habitats and landscapes associated with bird species in a lowland conifer-dominated ecosystem. *Avian Conservation & Ecology* 12: 7.
4. Schieck, J. & Song, S. J. 2006. Changes in bird communities throughout succession following fire and harvest in boreal forests of western North America: literature review and meta-analyses. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 36: 1299–1318. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/x06-017>
5. Hobson, K. A. & Bayne, E. M. 2000. The effects of stand age on avian communities in aspen-dominated forests of central Saskatchewan, Canada. *Forest Ecology and Management* 136: 121–134. Available online: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(99\)00287-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(99)00287-X)
6. Hobson, K. A. & Schieck, J. 1999. Changes in bird communities in boreal mixedwood forest: Harvest and wildfire effects over 30 years. *Ecological Applications* 9: 849–863.
7. Kardynal, K. J., Hobson, K. A., Van Wilgenburg, S. L. & Morissette, J. L. 2009. Moving riparian management guidelines towards a natural disturbance model: An example using boreal riparian and shoreline forest bird communities. *Forest Ecology and Management* 257: 54–65.
8. Tittler, R., Hannon, S. J. & Norton, M. R. 2001. Residual tree retention ameliorates short-term effects of clear-cutting on some boreal songbirds. *Ecological Applications* 11: 1656–1666.
9. Leston, L., Bayne, E. & Schmiegelow, F. 2018. Long-term changes in boreal forest occupancy within regenerating harvest units. *Forest Ecology and Management* 421: 40–53. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.02.029>
10. Cooper, J. M. & Beaudesne, S. M. 2004. Bay-breasted Warbler. in *Accounts and Measures for Managing Identified Wildlife* (Version 2004) Biodiversity Branch, Identified Wildlife Management Strategy, Victoria, BC. Available online: http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/frpa/iwms/documents/Birds/b_baybreastedwarbler.pdf
11. Cooper, J. M. & Beaudesne, S. 2004. Connecticut Warbler. in *Accounts and Measures for Managing Identified Wildlife* (Version 2004) Biodiversity Branch, Identified Wildlife Management Strategy, Victoria, BC.

Dusky Grouse

(*Dendragapus obscurus*)

1. Zwickel, F. C. & Bendell, J. F. 2005. Dusky Grouse (*Dendragapus obscurus*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/dusgro>
2. Pekins, P. J., Lindzey, F. G. & Gessaman, J. A. 1991. Physical Characteristics of Blue Grouse Winter-Use Trees and Roost Sites. Great Basin Naturalist 51: 244–248.
3. Pelren, E. C. & Crawford, J. A. 1999. Blue Grouse nesting parameters and habitat associations in northeastern Oregon. Great Basin Naturalist 59: 368–373. Available online: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?referer=https://www.google.ca/&httpsredir=1&article=3234&context=gbn>
4. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 9 Pacific and Yukon Region: Great Basin. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Delta, British Columbia. 105 pages + appendices.
5. Curtis, J. D. & Elder, F. S. 1965. Blue grouse feeding on planted ponderosa pine. Journal of Wildlife Management 29: 199–200.
6. Remington, T. E. & Hoffman, R. W. 1996. Food habits and preferences of blue grouse during winter. The Journal of Wildlife Management 60: 808–817. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3802381>
7. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 10 in Pacific and Yukon Region- Northern Rockies. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Delta, British Columbia. 109 pages + appendices.

Golden-crowned Kinglet

(*Regulus satrapa*)

1. Swanson, D. L., Ingold, J. L. & Galati, R. 2012. Golden-crowned Kinglet (*Regulus satrapa*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.301>
2. Schieck, J. & Song, S. J. 2006. Changes in bird communities throughout succession following fire and harvest in boreal forests of western North America: literature review and meta-analyses. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 36: 1299–1318. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/x06-017>
3. Cumming, E. E. & Diamond, A. W. 2002. Songbird community composition versus forest rotation age in Saskatchewan boreal mixedwood forest. The Canadian Field-Naturalist 116: 69–75. Available online: <http://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/109250>
4. Leston, L., Bayne, E. & Schmiegelow, F. 2018. Long-term changes in boreal forest occupancy within regenerating harvest units. Forest Ecology and Management 421: 40–53. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.02.029>
5. Lance, A. N. & Phinney, M. 2001. Bird responses to partial retention timber harvesting in central interior British Columbia. Forest Ecology and Management 142: 267–280.
6. Leupin, E. E., Dickinson, T. E. & Martin, K. 2004. Resistance of forest songbirds to habitat perforation in a high-elevation conifer forest. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 34: 1919–1928. Available online: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/10.1139/x04-057>
7. Hayes, J. P., Weikel, J. M. & Huso, M. M. P. 2003. Response of birds to thinning young Douglas-fir forests. Ecological Applications 13: 1222–1232. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/02-5068>
8. Bayne, E. M. & Nielsen, B. 2011. Temporal trends in bird abundance in response to thinning of lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*). Canadian Journal of Forest Research 41: 1917–1927. Available online: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/10.1139/x11-113#.WftrONCnFzB>
9. Pearson, S. F., Giovanini, J., Jones, J. E. & Kroll, A. J. 2015. Breeding bird community continues to colonize riparian buffers ten years after harvest. PLoS ONE 10: e0143241. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0143241>
10. Pearson, S. F. & Manuwal, D. A. 2001. Breeding bird response to riparian buffer width in managed Pacific Northwest Douglas-fir forests. Ecological Applications 11: 840–853. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3061120>
11. Hagar, J. C., Howlin, S. & Ganio, L. 2004. Short-term response of songbirds to experimental thinning of young Douglas-fir forests in the Oregon Cascades. Forest Ecology and Management 199: 333–347.
12. Hagar, J. C. 1999. Influence of riparian buffer width on bird assemblages in western Oregon. The Journal of Wildlife Management 63: 484–496. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3802633>
13. Hejl, S. J., Mack, D. E., Young, J. S., Bednarz, J. C. & Hutto, R. L. 2002. Birds and changing landscape patterns in conifer forests of the north-central Rocky Mountains. Studies in Avian Biology 25: 113–129. Available online: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Richard_Hutto/publication/267211708_Birds_and_changing_landscape_patterns_in_conifer_forests_of_the_north-central_Rocky_Mountains/links/5447e7370cf2d62c305295ad/Birds-and-changing-landscape-patterns-in-conifer-forests-
14. Rail, J.-F., Darveau, M., Desrochers, A. & Huot, J. 1997. Territorial responses of boreal forest birds to habitat gaps. The Condor 99: 976–980. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1370150>

Gray Jay

(*Perisoreus canadensis*)

1. Hobson, K. A. & Bayne, E. M. 2000. The effects of stand age on avian communities in aspen- dominated forests of central Saskatchewan, Canada. *Forest Ecology and Management* 136: 121–134. Available online: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(99\)00287-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(99)00287-X)
2. Strickland, D. & Ouellet, H. R. 2011. Gray Jay (*Perisoreus canadensis*). in *The Birds of North America* (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/gryjay>
3. B.C. Conservation Data Centre. 2017. Species Summary: *Perisoreus canadensis*. Minist. of Environment Available online: <http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/>
4. Sieving, K. E. & Willson, M. F. 1998. Nest predation and avian species diversity in northwestern forest understory. *Ecology* 79: 2391–2402.
5. Hobson, K. A. & Schieck, J. 1999. Changes in bird communities in boreal mixedwood forest: Harvest and wildfire effects over 30 years. *Ecological Applications* 9: 849–863.
6. Lance, A. N. & Phinney, M. 2001. Bird responses to partial retention timber harvesting in central interior British Columbia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 142: 267–280.
7. Hagar, J. C., Howlin, S. & Ganio, L. 2004. Short-term response of songbirds to experimental thinning of young Douglas-fir forests in the Oregon Cascades. *Forest Ecology and Management* 199: 333–347.
8. Waterhouse, M. J. & Armleder, H. M. 2007. Forest bird response to partial cutting on caribou winter range in west-central British Columbia. *BC Journal of Ecosystems and Management* 8: 75–90. Available online: http://www.forrex.org/publications/jem/ISS39/vol8_no1_art6.pdf
9. Ibarzabal, J. & Desrochers, A. 2004. A nest predator’s view of a managed forest: Gray Jay (*Perisoreus canadensis*) movement patterns in response to forest edges. *The Auk* 121: 162–169. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4090065>
10. ABMI. 2017. Gray Jay (*Perisoreus canadensis*). ABMI Species Website, version 4.1 Available online: <http://species.abmi.ca/pages/species/birds/GrayJay.html>

Great Gray Owl

(*Strix nebulosa*)

1. Bull, E. L. & Duncan, J. R. 1993. Great Gray Owl (*Strix nebulosa*). in *The Birds of North America* (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/rgowl>
2. Piorecky, M., Beck, B., Beck, J. & Bonar, R. 1999. Great Gray Owl year-round habitat: Habitat suitability index model (version 3). USDA Forest Service General Technical Report Available online: https://friresearch.ca/sites/default/files/null/HSP_1999_10_Rpt_GreatGrayOwlYearRoundHabitat.pdf
3. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 4 in Canada: Northwestern Interior Forest. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Whitehorse, Yukon. 138 pp. + appendices.
4. Duncan, J. R. 1997. Great gray owls (*Strix nebulosa nebulosa*) and forest management in North America: a review and recommendations. *Journal of Raptor Research* 31: 160–166. Available online: <https://sora.unm.edu/node/53603>
5. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 6: Boreal Taiga Plains. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Edmonton, Alberta. 288 pp.

Lewis's Woodpecker

(*Melanerpes lewis*)

1. Vierling, K. T., Saab, V. A. & Tobalske, B. W. 2013. Lewis's Woodpecker (*Melanerpes lewis*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.284>
2. COSEWIC. 2010. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Lewis's Woodpecker *Melanerpes lewis* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, Ottawa. x + 23 pp.
3. Saab, V. A. & Vierling, K. T. 2001. Reproductive success of Lewis's woodpecker in burned pine and cottonwood riparian forests. *The Condor* 103: 491–501. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1369820>
4. Saab, V. A., Russell, R. E. & Dudley, J. G. 2007. Nest densities of cavity-nesting birds in relation to postfire salvage logging and time since wildfire. *The Condor* 109: 97–108. Available online: [http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1650/0010-5422\(2007\)109\[97:NDOCBI\]2.0.CO;2](http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1650/0010-5422(2007)109[97:NDOCBI]2.0.CO;2)
5. Vogeler, J. C., Yang, Z. & Cohen, W. B. 2016. Mapping suitable Lewis's woodpecker nesting habitat in a post-fire landscape. *Northwest Science* 90: 421–432. Available online: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/pdf/10.3955/046.090.0404>
6. Environment Canada. 2016. Recovery Strategy for the Lewis's Woodpecker (*Melanerpes lewis*) in Canada [Proposed]. Species at Risk Act Recovery Strategy Series, Environment Canada, Ottawa. vi + 40 pp.
7. Saab, V. A., Russell, R. E. & Dudley, J. G. 2009. Nest-site selection by cavity-nesting birds in relation to postfire salvage logging. *Forest Ecology and Management* 257: 151–159.
8. Gebauer, M. 2004. Lewis's Woodpecker. in Accounts and Measures for Managing Identified Wildlife (Version 2004) Biodiversity Branch, Identified Wildlife Management Strategy, Victoria, BC.
9. Environment Canada. 2014. Management Plan for the Lewis's Woodpecker (*Melanerpes lewis*) in Canada. Species at Risk Act Management Plan Series, Environment Canada, Ottawa. iii + 23 pp.
10. Russell, R. E., Saab, V. A. & Dudley, J. G. 2007. Habitat-suitability models for cavity-nesting birds in a postfire landscape. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 71: 2600–2611.
11. Bonar, R. 2018. Personal communication. April 6, 2018

Northern Flicker

(*Colaptes auratus*)

1. Wiebe, K. L. & Moore, W. S. 2017. Northern Flicker (*Colaptes auratus*), version 2.1. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA.
2. Schieck, J. & Song, S. J. 2006. Changes in bird communities throughout succession following fire and harvest in boreal forests of western North America: literature review and meta-analyses. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 36: 1299–1318. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/x06-017>
3. Cooke, H. A. & Hannon, S. J. 2011. Do aggregated harvests with structural retention conserve the cavity web of old upland forest in the boreal plains? *Forest Ecology and Management* 261: 662–674. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.11.023>
4. Blanc, L. A. & Martin, K. 2012. Identifying suitable woodpecker nest trees using decay selection profiles in trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*). *Forest Ecology and Management* 286: 192–202.
5. Drever, M. C. & Martin, K. 2010. Response of woodpeckers to changes in forest health and harvest: Implications for conservation of avian biodiversity. *Forest Ecology and Management* 259: 958–966.
6. Cooke, H. A. & Hannon, S. J. 2012. Nest-site selection by old boreal forest cavity excavators as a basis for structural retention guidelines in spatially-aggregated harvests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 269: 37–51. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.12.042>
7. Lance, A. N. & Phinney, M. 2001. Bird responses to partial retention timber harvesting in central interior British Columbia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 142: 267–280.
8. Leston, L., Bayne, E. & Schmiegelow, F. 2018. Long-term changes in boreal forest occupancy within regenerating harvest units. *Forest Ecology and Management* 421: 40–53. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.02.029>
9. Saab, V. A., Russell, R. E. & Dudley, J. G. 2007. Nest densities of cavity-nesting birds in relation to postfire salvage logging and time since wildfire. *The Condor* 109: 97–108. Available online: [http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1650/0010-5422\(2007\)109\[97:NDOCBI\]2.0.CO;2](http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1650/0010-5422(2007)109[97:NDOCBI]2.0.CO;2)
10. Saab, V. A., Russell, R. E. & Dudley, J. G. 2009. Nest-site selection by cavity-nesting birds in relation to postfire salvage logging. *Forest Ecology and Management* 257: 151–159.
11. Walter, S. T. & Maguire, C. C. 2005. Snags, cavity-nesting birds, and silvicultural treatments in western Oregon. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 69: 1578–1591.
12. Cooke, H. A., Hannon, S. J. & Song, S. J. 2010. Conserving Old Forest Cavity Users in Aggregated Harvests with Structural Retention. Sustainable Forest Management Network, Edmonton, AB. 36 pp. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.7939/R3JQOSW3P>

Northern Goshawk

(Accipiter gentilis) [atricapillus subspecies]

1. Squires, J. R. & Reynolds, R. T. 1997. Northern Goshawk (*Accipiter gentilis*), version 2.0. in *The Birds of North America* (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA.
2. McGrath, M. T., DeStefano, S., Riggs, R. A., Irwin, L. L. & Roloff, G. J. 2003. Spatially explicit influences on northern goshawk nesting habitat in the interior Pacific Northwest. *Wildlife Monographs* 154: 1–63. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3830854>
3. Cooper, J. M. & Stevens, V. 2000. A review of the ecology, management and conservation of the northern goshawk in British Columbia. B.C. Minist. Environ., Lands and Parks, Wildl. Branch, Victoria, BC. Wildl. Bull. No. B-101. 31 pp.
4. Harrower, W. L., Larsen, K. W. & Stuart-Smith, A. K. 2010. Movements and resource selection of fledgling goshawks in montane forests of southeastern British Columbia. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 74: 1768–1775. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2193/2009-127/abstract>
5. B.C. Conservation Data Centre. 2018. Conservation Status Report: *Accipiter gentilis atricapillus*. B.C. Minist. of Environment Available online: <http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/>
6. Stuart-Smith, A. K., Harrower, W. L., Mahon, T., McClaren, E. I. & Doyle, F. I. 2012. A scientific basis for managing northern goshawk breeding areas in the interior of British Columbia: Best management practices. FORREX Forum for Research and Extension in Natural Resources, Kamloops, B.C. FORREX Series 29. Available online: http://www.forrex.org/sites/default/files/forrex_series/176-goshawk-final.pdf
7. Takats Priestley, L. 2005. Northern Goshawk and Barred Owl nesting Phenology in central Alberta. *Nature Alberta* 35: 10–12.
8. Rodriguez, S. A., Kennedy, P. L. & Parker, T. H. 2016. Timber harvest and tree size near nests explains variation in nest site occupancy but not productivity in northern goshawks (*Accipiter gentilis*). *Forest Ecology and Management* 374: 220–229. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2016.04.052>
9. Mahon, T. & Doyle, F. I. 2005. Effects of timber harvesting near nest sites on the reproductive success of Northern Goshawks (*Accipiter gentilis*). *Journal of Raptor Research* 39: 335–341.
10. Penteriani, V. 2002. Goshawk nesting habitat in Europe and North America: a review. *Ornis Fennica* 79: 149–163. Available online: http://www.vincenzopenteriani.org/publications_pdfs/accipiter_gentilis_ornis_fennica1.pdf
11. Daw, S. K. & DeStefano, S. 2001. Forest characteristics of northern goshawk nest stands and post-fledgling areas in Oregon. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 65: 59–65. Available online: http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/3803277.pdf?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

Northern Hawk Owl

(*Surnia ulula*)

1. Duncan, J. R. & Duncan, P. A. 2014. Northern Hawk Owl (*Surnia ulula*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.356>
2. Duncan, P. A. & Harris, W. C. 1997. Northern Hawk Owls (*Surnia ulula caparoch*) and forest management in North America: a review. *Journal of Raptor Research* 31: 187–190. Available online: <https://sora.unm.edu/node/53606>
3. Hannah, K. C. & Hoyt, J. S. 2004. Northern hawk owls and recent burns: does burn age matter? *Condor* 106: 420–423. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1650/7342>
4. Cooke, H. A., Hannon, S. J. & Song, S. J. 2010. Conserving Old Forest Cavity Users in Aggregated Harvests with Structural Retention. Sustainable Forest Management Network, Edmonton, AB. 36 pp. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.7939/R3JQ0SW3P>
5. Cooke, H. A. & Hannon, S. J. 2011. Do aggregated harvests with structural retention conserve the cavity web of old upland forest in the boreal plains? *Forest Ecology and Management* 261: 662–674. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.11.023>

Northern Pygmy-Owl

(*Glaucidium gnoma*)

1. Holt, D. W. & Petersen, J. L. 2000. Northern Pygmy-Owl (*Glaucidium gnoma*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.494>
2. Hannah, K. C. 1999. Status of the Northern Pygmy Owl (*Glaucidium gnoma californicum*) in Alberta. Alberta Environmental Protection, Fisheries and Wildlife Management Division, and Alberta Conservation Association, Wildlife Status Report No. 20, Edmonton, AB. 20 pp.
3. Piorecky, M. D. & Prescott, D. R. C. 2006. Multiple spatial scale logistic and autologistic habitat selection models for northern pygmy owls, along the eastern slopes of Alberta's Rocky Mountains. Biological Conservation 129: 360–371. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.11.003>
4. Giese, A. R. & Forsman, E. D. 2003. Breeding season habitat use and ecology of male northern pygmy-owls. The Journal of Raptor Research 37: 117–124. Available online: <https://sora.unm.edu/sites/default/files/journals/jrr/v037n02/p00117-p00124.pdf>
5. Bonar, R. 2018. Personal communication. April 6, 2018

Northern Saw-whet Owl

(*Aegolius acadicus*)

1. Rasmussen, J. L., Sealy, S. G. & Cannings, R. J. 2008. Northern Saw-whet Owl (*Aegolius acadicus*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA.
2. BC Ministry of Forests Lands and Natural Resource Operations. 2013. Guidelines for Raptor Conservation during Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia (2013). Victoria, BC. 151 pp. Available online: http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/documents/bmp/raptor_conservation_guidelines_2013.pdf
3. Domahidi, Z. 2018. Distribution and breeding ecology of boreal and northern saw-whet owls in the Boreal forests of Alberta, Canada. [M.Sc.](#) Thesis. Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB. 81 pp.
4. Cooke, H. A., Hannon, S. J. & Song, S. J. 2010. Conserving Old Forest Cavity Users in Aggregated Harvests with Structural Retention. Sustainable Forest Management Network, Edmonton, AB. 36 pp. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.7939/R3JQ0SW3P>
5. Priestley, L. 2008. The nesting phenology of Northern Saw-Whet Owl and Boreal Owl in central Alberta. *Nature Alberta* 37: 20–24.
6. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 10 in Pacific and Yukon Region- Northern Rockies. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Delta, British Columbia. 109 pages + appendices.
7. Scholer, M. N., Leu, M. & Belthoff, J. R. 2014. Factors associated with flammulated owl and northern saw-whet owl occupancy in southern Idaho. *Journal of Raptor Research* 48: 128–141. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.3356/JRR-13-00049.1>
8. Hinam, H. L. & St. Clair, C. C. 2008. High levels of habitat loss and fragmentation limit reproductive success by reducing home range size and provisioning rates of Northern saw-whet owls. *Biological Conservation* 141: 524–535. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2007.11.011>
9. OMNR. 2010. Forest Management Guide for Conserving Biodiversity at the Stand and Site Scales- Background and Rationale for Direction. Queen's Printer for Ontario, Toronto, ON. 575 pp.
10. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 9 Pacific and Yukon Region: Great Basin. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Delta, British Columbia. 105 pages + appendices.

Northern Spotted Owl

(*Strix occidentalis*)

1. BMPWG (Spotted Owl Best Management Practices Working Group). 2009. Best Management Practices For Managing Spotted Owl Habitat. in Spotted Owl Management Plan 2 (Chilliwack Forest District, Squamish Forest District) BC Ministry of Environment and Ministry of Forests and Range. Available online: <http://bcwildfire.ca/ftp/DCK/external/!publish/SOMP/DOCUMENTS/SPOWBestManagementPracticesJul2009.pdf>. Accessed on August 30, 2013..
2. COSEWIC. 2008. COSEWIC Assessment and Update Status Report on the Spotted Owl *Strix occidentalis caurina* Caurina subspecies. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, Ottawa. vii + 48 pp.
3. Blackburn, I. & Godwin, S. 2004. Status of the Northern Spotted Owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*) in British Columbia. B.C. Minist. Water, Land and Air Protection, Biodiversity Branch, Victoria, BC. Wildl. Bull. No. B-118.
4. Gutiérrez, R. J., Franklin, A. B. & Lahaye, W. S. 1995. Spotted Owl (*Strix occidentalis*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA.
5. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2011. Revised recovery plan for the northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, OR. xvi + 258 pp. Available online: <http://www.fws.gov/species/nso>
6. Comfort, E. J., Clark, D. A., Anthony, R. G., Bailey, J. & Betts, M. G. 2016. Quantifying edges as gradients at multiple scales improves habitat selection models for northern spotted owl. Landscape Ecology 31: 1227–1240. Springer Netherlands Available online: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10980-015-0330-1>
7. Irwin, L. L., Rock, D. F. & Rock, S. C. 2013. Do northern spotted owls use harvested areas? Forest Ecology and Management 310: 1029–1035. Available online: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378112713002065>
8. Chutter, M. J. et al. 2004. Recovery strategy for the northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*) in British Columbia. Prepared for the BC Ministry of Environment, Victoria, BC. 74 pp.
9. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 9 Pacific and Yukon Region: Great Basin. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Delta, British Columbia. 105 pages + appendices.
10. Tempel, D. J. et al. 2015. Evaluating short- and long-term impacts of fuels treatments and simulated wildfire on an old-forest species. Ecosphere 6: 1–19. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1890/ES15-00234.1/abstract>
11. Ganey, J. L., Wan, H. Y., Cushman, S. A. & Vojta, C. D. 2017. Conflicting perspectives on spotted owls, wildfire, and forest restoration. Fire Ecology 13: 1–20. Available online: <https://www.frames.gov/catalog/25502>

Olive-sided Flycatcher

(*Contopus cooperi*)

1. Schieck, J. & Song, S. J. 2006. Changes in bird communities throughout succession following fire and harvest in boreal forests of western North America: literature review and meta-analyses. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 36: 1299–1318. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/x06-017>
2. Altman, B. & Sallabanks, R. 2012. Olive-sided Flycatcher (*Contopus cooperi*), version 2.0. in *The Birds of North America* (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.502>
3. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 6: Boreal Taiga Plains. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Edmonton, Alberta. 288 pp.
4. Robertson, B. A. 2012. Investigating targets of avian habitat management to eliminate an ecological trap. *Avian Conservation and Ecology* 7: 2. Available online: <http://www.ace-eco.org/vol7/iss2/art2/>
5. Kline, J. D. et al. 2016. Evaluating carbon storage, timber harvest, and potential habitat possibilities for a western Cascades (US) forest landscape. *Ecological Applications* 26: 2044–2059. Available online: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/eap.1358>
6. Environment Canada. 2016. Recovery Strategy for Olive-sided Flycatcher (*Contopus cooperi*) in Canada. Species at Risk Act Recovery Strategy Series. Environment Canada, Ottawa. vii + 52 pp.
7. Beese, W. J. & Bryant, A. A. 1999. Effect of alternative silvicultural systems on vegetation and bird communities in coastal montane forests of British Columbia, Canada. *Forest Ecology and Management* 115: 231–242.
8. Lance, A. N. & Phinney, M. 2001. Bird responses to partial retention timber harvesting in central interior British Columbia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 142: 267–280.
9. Hagar, J. C., Howlin, S. & Ganio, L. 2004. Short-term response of songbirds to experimental thinning of young Douglas-fir forests in the Oregon Cascades. *Forest Ecology and Management* 199: 333–347.
10. Robertson, B. A. & Hutto, R. L. 2007. Is Selectively Harvested Forest an Ecological Trap for Olive-Sided Flycatchers? *American Ornithological Society* 109: 109–121. Available online: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1650/0010-5422\(2007\)109\[109:ISHFAE\]2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1650/0010-5422(2007)109[109:ISHFAE]2.0.CO;2)
11. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 4 in Canada: Northwestern Interior Forest. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Whitehorse, Yukon. 138 pp. + appendices.
12. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 10 in Pacific and Yukon Region- Northern Rockies. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Delta, British Columbia. 109 pages + appendices.
13. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 9 Pacific and Yukon Region: Great Basin. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Delta, British Columbia. 105 pages + appendices.

Osprey

(*Pandion haliaetus*)

1. BC Ministry of Forests Lands and Natural Resource Operations. 2013. Guidelines for Raptor Conservation during Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia (2013). Victoria, BC. 151 pp. Available online: http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/documents/bmp/raptor_conservation_guidelines_2013.pdf
2. Bierregaard, R. O., Poole, A. F., Martell, M. S., Pyle, P. & Patten, M. A. 2016. Osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.683>
3. Ewins, P. J. 1997. Osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*) populations in forested areas of North America: Changes, their causes and management recommendations. *Journal of Raptor Research* 31: 138–150.
4. Saurola, P. L. 1997. The osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*) and modern forestry: a review of population trends and their causes in Europe. *Journal of Raptor Research* 31: 129–137.
5. OMNR. 2010. Forest Management Guide for Conserving Biodiversity at the Stand and Site Scales- Background and Rationale for Direction. Queen's Printer for Ontario, Toronto, ON. 575 pp.
6. Stuart-Smith, A. K., Harrower, W. L., Mahon, T., McClaren, E. I. & Doyle, F. I. 2012. A scientific basis for managing northern goshawk breeding areas in the interior of British Columbia: Best management practices. FORREX Forum for Research and Extension in Natural Resources, Kamloops, B.C. FORREX Series 29. Available online: http://www.forrex.org/sites/default/files/forrex_series/176-goshawk-final.pdf

Ovenbird

(*Seiurus aurocapilla*)

1. Porneluzi, P., Horn, M. A. Van & Donovan, T. M. 2011. Ovenbird (*Seiurus aurocapilla*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.88>
2. Schieck, J. & Song, S. J. 2006. Changes in bird communities throughout succession following fire and harvest in boreal forests of western North America: literature review and meta-analyses. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 36: 1299–1318. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/x06-017>
3. Vanderwel, M. C., Malcolm, J. R. & Mills, S. C. 2007. A meta-analysis of bird responses to uniform partial harvesting across North America. Conservation Biology 21: 1230–1240.
4. Hobson, K. A. & Schieck, J. 1999. Changes in bird communities in boreal mixedwood forest: Harvest and wildfire effects over 30 years. Ecological Applications 9: 849–863.
5. Venier, L. A., Pearce, J. L., Fillman, D. R., McNicol, D. K. & Welsh, D. A. 2009. Effects of Spruce budworm (*Choristoneura fumiferana* (Clem.)) outbreaks on boreal mixed-wood bird communities. Avian Conservation and Ecology 4: 3.
6. Schieck, J., Stuart-Smith, K. & Norton, M. 2000. Bird communities are affected by amount and dispersion of vegetation retained in mixedwood boreal forest harvest areas. Forest Ecology and Management 126: 239–254.
7. Tittler, R., Hannon, S. J. & Norton, M. R. 2001. Residual tree retention ameliorates short-term effects of clear-cutting on some boreal songbirds. Ecological Applications 11: 1656–1666.
8. Norton, M. R. & Hannon, S. J. 1997. Songbird response to partial-cut logging in the boreal mixedwood forest of Alberta. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 27: 44–53. Available online: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/x96-149>
9. Leston, L., Bayne, E. & Schmiegelow, F. 2018. Long-term changes in boreal forest occupancy within regenerating harvest units. Forest Ecology and Management 421: 40–53. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.02.029>
10. Van Wilgenburg, S. L. & Hobson, K. A. 2008. Landscape-scale disturbance and boreal forest birds: Can large single-pass harvest approximate fires? Forest Ecology and Management 256: 136–146. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2008.04.017>
11. Odsen, S. G. 2015. Conserving Boreal Songbirds Using Variable Retention Forest Management. Master of Science. Dept. Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB. 84 pp.
12. Merrill, S. B., Cuthbert, F. J. & Oehlert, G. 1998. Residual patches and their contribution to forest-bird diversity in northern Minnesota aspen clearcuts. Conservation Biology 12: 190–199. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/2387474.pdf>
13. Mattsson, B. J. & Niemi, G. J. 2006. Factors influencing predation on Ovenbird (*Seiurus aurocapilla*) nests in northern hardwoods: interactions across spatial scales. The Auk 123: 82–96. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4090630>
14. Bonar, R. 2018. Personal Communication.
15. Burke, D. M. & Nol, E. 2000. Landscape and fragment size effects on reproductive success of forest-breeding birds in Ontario. Ecological Applications 10: 1749–1761. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2641236>
16. Flaspohler, D. J., Temple, S. A. & Rosenfield, R. N. 2001. Effects of forest edges on ovenbird demography in a managed forest landscape. Conservation Biology 15: 173–183. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2001.99397.x>
17. Lambert, J. D. & Hannon, S. J. 2000. Short-term effects of timber harvest on abundance, territory characteristics, and pairing success of ovenbirds in riparian buffer strips. The Auk 117: 687–698.
18. Machtans, C. S., Villard, M.-A. & Hannon, S. J. 1996. Use of riparian buffer strips as movement corridors by forest birds. Conservation Biology 10: 1366–1379.

Pacific Wren

(*Troglodytes pacificus*)

1. Toews, D. P. L. & Irwin, D. E. 2012. Pacific Wren (*Troglodytes pacificus*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/pacwre1>
2. Mclachlin, R. A. 1983. Dispersion of the western winter wren (*Troglodytes troglodytes pacificus* [Baird]) in coastal western hemlock forest at the University of British Columbia Research Forest in south-western British Columbia. Ph.D. Thesis. Dept. of Forestry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC. 275 pp. Available online: <https://open.library.ubc.ca/cIRcle/collections/ubctheses/831/items/1.0095884>
3. Hutto, R. L. & Young, J. S. 1999. Habitat relationships of landbirds in the Northern Region, USDA Forest Service. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-32. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ogden, UT. 72 pp. Available online: https://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs_gtr032.pdf
4. Adamus, P. R., Baker, J. P., White, D., Santelmann, M. & Haggerty, P. 2000. Terrestrial Vertebrate Species of the Willamette River Basin: Species–Habitat Relationships Matrix. Internal Report. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Corvallis, OR.
5. Anthony, R. G., Green, G. A., Forsman, E. D. & Nelson, S. K. 1996. Avian abundance in riparian zones of three forest types in the Cascade Mountains, Oregon. *Wilson Bulletin* 108: 280–291. Available online: <http://www.science.fau.edu/biology/gawliklab/papers/AnthonyRG-et al1996.pdf>
6. Waterhouse, F. L., Harestad, A. S. & Ott, P. K. 2002. Use of small streams and forest gaps for breeding habitats by winter wrens in coastal British Columbia. *Northwest Science* 76: 335–346. Available online: https://research.wsulibs.wsu.edu/xmlui/bitstream/handle/2376/924/v76_p335_Waterhouse_et_al.PDF?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
7. McGarigal, K. & McComb, W. C. 1995. Relationships between landscape structure and breeding birds in the Oregon Coast Range. *Ecological Monographs* 65: 235–260. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2937059>
8. Zarnowitz, J. E. & Manuwal, D. A. 1985. The effects of forest management on cavity-nesting birds in northwestern Washington. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 49: 255–263. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3801881>
9. Catt, D. J. 1991. Bird communities and forest succession in the subalpine zone of Kootenay National Park, British Columbia. *M.Sc.* Thesis. Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Vancouver, BC. 99 pp. Available online: <http://summit.sfu.ca/item/4788>
10. Pearson, S. F., Giovanini, J., Jones, J. E. & Kroll, A. J. 2015. Breeding bird community continues to colonize riparian buffers ten years after harvest. *PLoS ONE* 10: e0143241. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0143241>
11. Hagar, J. C. 1999. Influence of riparian buffer width on bird assemblages in western Oregon. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 63: 484–496. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3802633>
12. Waterhouse, F. L. & Harestad, A. S. 2002. Habitat use of a dispersed retention area by breeding Winter Wrens. Technical Report TR-020. Research Section, Vancouver Forest Region, BC Ministry of Forests, Nanaimo, BC. 16 pp. Available online: <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/rco/research/wildlifereports/tr020.pdf>

Pileated Woodpecker

(*Dryocopus pileatus*)

1. Bull, E. L. and J. A. J. 2011. Pileated Woodpecker (*Dryocopus pileatus*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.148>
2. Cooke, H. A. & Hannon, S. J. 2012. Nest-site selection by old boreal forest cavity excavators as a basis for structural retention guidelines in spatially-aggregated harvests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 269: 37–51. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.12.042>
3. Hutto, R. L. & Young, J. S. 1999. Habitat relationships of landbirds in the Northern Region, USDA Forest Service. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-32. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ogden, UT. 72 pp. Available online: https://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs_gtr032.pdf
4. McClelland, B. R. & McClelland, P. T. 1999. Pileated woodpecker nest and roost trees in Montana: links with old-growth and forest 'health'. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 27: 846–857. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3784108>
5. ABMI. 2017. Pileated Woodpecker (*Dryocopus pileatus*). ABMI Species Website, version 4.1 Available online: <http://species.abmi.ca/pages/species/birds/PileatedWoodpecker.html>
6. Bonar, R. 2018. Personal communication. April 6, 2018
7. Koivula, M. J. & Schmiegelow, F. K. A. 2007. Boreal woodpecker assemblages in recently burned forested landscapes in Alberta, Canada: Effects of post-fire harvesting and burn severity. *Forest Ecology and Management* 242: 606–618. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2007.01.075>
8. Schieck, J. & Song, S. J. 2006. Changes in bird communities throughout succession following fire and harvest in boreal forests of western North America: literature review and meta-analyses. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 36: 1299–1318. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/x06-017>
9. Drever, M. C. & Martin, K. 2010. Response of woodpeckers to changes in forest health and harvest: Implications for conservation of avian biodiversity. *Forest Ecology and Management* 259: 958–966.
10. Hobson, K. A. & Bayne, E. M. 2000. The effects of stand age on avian communities in aspen- dominated forests of central Saskatchewan, Canada. *Forest Ecology and Management* 136: 121–134. Available online: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(99\)00287-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(99)00287-X)
11. Bonar, R. L. 2001. Pileated Woodpecker Habitat Ecology in the Alberta Foothills. Ph.D. Thesis. Dept. Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB. 75 pp.
12. Edworthy, A. B. & Martin, K. 2014. Long-term dynamics of the characteristics of tree cavities used for nesting by vertebrates. *Forest Ecology and Management* 334: 122–128. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.09.001>
13. Blanc, L. A. & Martin, K. 2012. Identifying suitable woodpecker nest trees using decay selection profiles in trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*). *Forest Ecology and Management* 286: 192–202.
14. Cooke, H. A. & Hannon, S. J. 2011. Do aggregated harvests with structural retention conserve the cavity web of old upland forest in the boreal plains? *Forest Ecology and Management* 261: 662–674. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.11.023>
15. Bull, E. L. & Holthausen, R. S. 1993. Habitat use and management of pileated woodpeckers in northeastern Oregon. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 57: 335–345. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3809431>

Pine Grosbeak

(*Pinicola enucleator*)

1. Adkisson, C. S. 1999. Pine Grosbeak (*Pinicola enucleator*). In *The Birds of North America* (ed. Rodewald, P. G.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/pingro>
2. B.C. Conservation Data Centre. 2018. Species Summary: *Pinicola enucleator*. B.C. Minist. of Environment Available online: <http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/>
3. Martell, A. 2015. Pine Grosbeak. In *The Atlas of the Breeding Birds of British Columbia, 2008-2012* (eds. Davidson, P. J. A., Cannings, R. J., Couturier, A. R., Lepage, D. & Corrado, C. M. Di) Bird Studies Canada, Delta, BC. Available online: <http://www.birdatlas.bc.ca/accounts/speciesaccount.jsp?sp=PIGR&lang=en>
4. Virkkala, R. 1991. Population trends of forest birds in a Finnish Lapland landscape of large habitat blocks: Consequences of stochastic environmental variation or regional habitat alteration? *Biological Conservation* 56: 223–240. Available online: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207\(91\)90019-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207(91)90019-6)
5. Virkkala, R. 1987. Effects of forest management on birds breeding in northern Finland. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* 24: 281–294. Available online: <http://www.sekj.org/PDF/anzf24/anz24-281-294.pdf>
6. Brotons, L., Mönkkönen, M., Huhta, E., Nikula, A. & Rajasärkkä, A. 2003. Effects of landscape structure and forest reserve location on old-growth forest bird species in Northern Finland. *Landscape Ecology* 18: 377–393. Available online: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A%3A1026148825138>

Rufous Hummingbird

(*Selasphorus rufus*)

1. Healy, S. & Calder, W. A. 2006. Rufous Hummingbird (*Selasphorus rufus*). in *The Birds of North America* (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/rufhum>
2. B.C. Conservation Data Centre. 2018. Species Summary: *Selasphorus rufus*. B.C. Minist. of Environment Available online: <http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/>
3. Hutto, R. L. & Young, J. S. 1999. Habitat relationships of landbirds in the Northern Region, USDA Forest Service. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-32. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ogden, UT. 72 pp. Available online: https://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs_gtr032.pdf
4. Hagar, J. C., Howlin, S. & Ganio, L. 2004. Short-term response of songbirds to experimental thinning of young Douglas-fir forests in the Oregon Cascades. *Forest Ecology and Management* 199: 333–347.
5. Hutto, R. L., Hejl, S. J., Preston, C. R. & Finch, D. M. 1992. Effects of silvicultural treatments on forest birds in the Rocky Mountains: implications and management recommendations. Proceedings of a workshop held September 21-25, 1992, Estes Park, Colorado.
6. Lance, A. N. & Phinney, M. 2001. Bird responses to partial retention timber harvesting in central interior British Columbia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 142: 267–280.
7. Hagar, J. C. 1999. Influence of riparian buffer width on bird assemblages in western Oregon. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 63: 484–496. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3802633>
8. Shirley, S. M. 2006. Movement of forest birds across river and clearcut edges of varying riparian buffer strip widths. *Forest Ecology and Management* 223: 190–199.

Rusty Blackbird

(*Euphagus carolinus*)

1. Avery, M. L. 2013. Rusty Blackbird (*Euphagus carolinus*), version 2.0. in *The Birds of North America* (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.200>
2. Matsuoka, S. M. et al. 2010. Nesting Ecology of the Rusty Blackbird in Alaska and Canada. *The Condor* 112: 810–824. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1525/cond.2010.100149>
3. Powell, L. L., Hodgman, T. P., Glanz, W. E., Osenton, J. D. & Fisher, C. M. 2010. Nest-site selection and nest survival of the rusty blackbird: Does timber management adjacent to wetlands create ecological traps? *The Condor* 112: 800–809. Available online: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/full/10.1525/cond.2010.100152>
4. Environment Canada. 2015. Management Plan for the Rusty Blackbird (*Euphagus carolinus*) in Canada. Species at Risk Act Management Plan Series. Environment Canada, Ottawa. iv + 26 pp.
5. COSEWIC. 2006. COSEWIC Assessment and Status Report on the Rusty Blackbird *Euphagus carolinus* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, Ottawa. vi + 28 pp. Available online: www.sararegistry.gc.ca/status/status_e.cfm
6. Powell, L. L., Hodgman, T. P., Fiske, I. J. & Glanz, W. E. 2014. Habitat occupancy of Rusty Blackbirds (*Euphagus carolinus*) breeding in northern New England, USA. *The Condor* 116: 122–133. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1650/CONDOR-13-033-R1.1>
7. Schieck, J., Stuart-Smith, K. & Norton, M. 2000. Bird communities are affected by amount and dispersion of vegetation retained in mixedwood boreal forest harvest areas. *Forest Ecology and Management* 126: 239–254.
8. Schieck, J. & Song, S. J. 2006. Changes in bird communities throughout succession following fire and harvest in boreal forests of western North America: literature review and meta-analyses. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 36: 1299–1318. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/x06-017>
9. Hobson, K. A. & Schieck, J. 1999. Changes in bird communities in boreal mixedwood forest: Harvest and wildfire effects over 30 years. *Ecological Applications* 9: 849–863.
10. Luepold, S. H. B., Hodgman, T. P., McNulty, S. A., Cohen, J. & Foss, C. R. 2015. Habitat selection, nest survival, and nest predators of Rusty Blackbirds in northern New England, USA. *The Condor* 117: 609–623. Available online: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/10.1650/CONDOR-14-215.1>
11. Powell, L. L., Hodgman, T. P. & Glanz, W. E. 2010. Home ranges of rusty blackbirds breeding in wetlands: How much would buffers from timber harvest protect habitat? *The Condor* 112: 834–840.

Townsend's Warbler

(*Setophaga townsendii*)

1. Wright, A. L., Hayward, G. D., Matsuoka, S. M. & Hayward, P. H. 1998. Townsend's Warbler (*Setophaga townsendii*), version 2.0. in *The Birds of North America* (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.333>
2. Mannan, R. W. & Meslow, E. C. 1984. Bird populations and vegetation characteristics in managed and old-growth forests, northeastern Oregon. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 48: 1219–1238. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3801783>
3. Matsuoka, S. M., Handel, C. M. & Roby, D. D. 1997. Nesting ecology of Townsend's warblers in relation to habitat characteristics in a mature boreal forest. *The Condor* 99: 271–281. Available online: <https://sora.unm.edu/node/105247>
4. Hutto, R. L. & Young, J. S. 1999. Habitat relationships of landbirds in the Northern Region, USDA Forest Service. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-32. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ogden, UT. 72 pp. Available online: https://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs_gtr032.pdf
5. Hutto, R. L., Hejl, S. J., Preston, C. R. & Finch, D. M. 1992. Effects of silvicultural treatments on forest birds in the Rocky Mountains: implications and management recommendations. *Proceedings of a workshop held September 21-25, 1992, Estes Park, Colorado*.
6. Stuart-Smith, A. K., Hayes, J. P. & Schieck, J. 2006. The influence of wildfire, logging and residual tree density on bird communities in the northern Rocky Mountains. *Forest Ecology and Management* 231: 1–17.
7. Matsuoka, S. M. et al. 2010. Nesting Ecology of the Rusty Blackbird in Alaska and Canada. *The Condor* 112: 810–824. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1525/cond.2010.100149>

Varied Thrush

(*Ixoreus naevius*)

1. George, T. L. 2000. Varied Thrush (*Ixoreus naevius*), version 2.0. in *The Birds of North America* (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.541>
2. Hutto, R. L. & Young, J. S. 1999. Habitat relationships of landbirds in the Northern Region, USDA Forest Service. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-32. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ogden, UT. 72 pp. Available online: https://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs_gtr032.pdf
3. Kuhnke, D. H. 1992. Birds in the boreal forest: proceedings of a workshop held March 10-12 in Prince Albert, Saskatchewan. Forestry Canada, Northwest Region, Northern Forestry Centre, Edmonton, AB. 254 pp. Available online: http://www.cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/bookstore_pdfs/23800.pdf
4. McGarigal, K. & McComb, W. C. 1995. Relationships between landscape structure and breeding birds in the Oregon Coast Range. *Ecological Monographs* 65: 235–260. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2937059>
5. Anthony, R. G., Green, G. A., Forsman, E. D. & Nelson, S. K. 1996. Avian abundance in riparian zones of three forest types in the Cascade Mountains, Oregon. *Wilson Bulletin* 108: 280–291. Available online: <http://www.science.fau.edu/biology/gawliklab/papers/AnthonyRG-et-al1996.pdf>
6. Carey, A. B., Hardt, M. M., Horton, S. P. & Biswell, B. L. 1991. Spring Bird Communities in the Oregon Coast Range. Pages 123–142 in *Wildlife and Vegetation of Unmanaged Douglas-Fir Forests* (Ruggiero, L. F., Aubry, K. B., Carey, A. B. & Huff, M. H., eds.) 123–142. General Technical Report PNW-GTR-285. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Olympia, WA. Available online: <http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US9162241>
7. Lance, A. N. & Phinney, M. 2001. Bird responses to partial retention timber harvesting in central interior British Columbia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 142: 267–280.
8. Hayes, J. P., Weikel, J. M. & Huso, M. M. P. 2003. Response of birds to thinning young Douglas-fir forests. *Ecological Applications* 13: 1222–1232. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/02-5068>
9. Hagar, J. C., Howlin, S. & Ganio, L. 2004. Short-term response of songbirds to experimental thinning of young Douglas-fir forests in the Oregon Cascades. *Forest Ecology and Management* 199: 333–347.
10. Bayne, E. M. & Nielsen, B. 2011. Temporal trends in bird abundance in response to thinning of lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*). *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 41: 1917–1927. Available online: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/10.1139/x11-113#WftrONCnFzB>
11. Pearson, S. F., Giovanini, J., Jones, J. E. & Kroll, A. J. 2015. Breeding bird community continues to colonize riparian buffers ten years after harvest. *PLoS ONE* 10: e0143241. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0143241>
12. Hagar, J. C. 1999. Influence of riparian buffer width on bird assemblages in western Oregon. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 63: 484–496. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3802633>
13. Luepold, S. H. B., Hodgman, T. P., McNulty, S. A., Cohen, J. & Foss, C. R. 2015. Habitat selection, nest survival, and nest predators of Rusty Blackbirds in northern New England, USA. *The Condor* 117: 609–623. Available online: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/10.1650/CONDOR-14-215.1>
14. Stuart-Smith, A. K., Hayes, J. P. & Schieck, J. 2006. The influence of wildfire, logging and residual tree density on bird communities in the northern Rocky Mountains. *Forest Ecology and Management* 231: 1–17.
15. Beck, M. J. & George, T. L. 2000. Song post and foraging site characteristics of breeding Varied Thrushes in northwestern California. *The Condor* 102: 93. Available online: [https://doi.org/10.1650/0010-5422\(2000\)102\[0093:SPAFSC\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1650/0010-5422(2000)102[0093:SPAFSC]2.0.CO;2)
16. Brand, L. A. & George, T. L. 2001. Response of passerine birds to forest edge in coast redwood fragments. *The Auk* 118: 678–686. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4089929>

Western Screech-Owl

(*Megascops kennicottii macfarlanei*)

1. Cannings, R. J. 2004. 'Interior' Western Screech-Owl, *Otus kennicottii macfarlanei*. in Accounts and Measures for Managing Identified Wildlife – Accounts V. 2004 Biodiversity Branch, Identified Wildlife Management Strategy, Victoria, BC.
2. Cannings, R. J., Angell, T., Pyle, P. & Patten, M. A. 2017. Western Screech-Owl (*Megascops kennicottii*), version 3.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA.
3. COSEWIC. 2012. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Western Screech-Owl *kennicottii* subspecies (*Megascops kennicottii kennicottii*) and the Western Screech-Owl *macfarlanei* subspecies (*Megascops kennicottii macfarlanei*) in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, Ottawa. xii + 30 pp.
4. Western Screech-Owl *macfarlanei* subspecies Recovery Team. 2008. Recovery Strategy for the Western Screech-Owl, *macfarlanei* subspecies (*Megascops kennicottii macfarlanei*) in British Columbia. Prepared for the BC Ministry of Environment, Victoria, BC. 14 pp.
5. B.C. Ministry of Environment. 2016. Recovery Plan for the Western Screech-Owl, *macfarlanei* subspecies (*Megascops kennicottii macfarlanei*) in British Columbia. B.C. Ministry of Environment, Victoria, BC. 27 pp. Available online: <http://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/environment/plants-animals-ecosystems/species-ecosystems-at-risk/recovery-planning>
6. Hane, M. 2016. Western screech-owls (*Megascops kennicottii*) use stump nest during active logging operation. Journal of Raptor Research 50: 226–227. Available online: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/full/10.3356/0892-1016-50.2.226>
7. Davis, H. & Weir, R. D. 2010. Home ranges and spatial organization of Western Screech-Owls in southern British Columbia. Northwestern Naturalist 91: 157–164. Available online: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1898/NWN08-048.1>
8. Hausleitner, D., Dulisse, J., Manley, I. & Waterhouse, A. 2017. Nest habitat selection of Western Screech-Owls (*Megascops kennicottii macfarlanei*) at multiple spatial scales in southeastern British Columbia. Journal of Ecosystems & Management 17: 1–8. Available online: <http://jem-online.org/index.php/jem/article/view/592/512>
9. Hobbs, J. 2013. Western Screech-Owl Conservation and Management for the Bridge-Seton Area: 2012 Survey- Final Report. Prepared for Fish and Wildlife Compensation Program and Habitat Conservation Trust Foundation. BC Ministry of Natural Resource Operations, Victoria, BC. 27 pp. Available online: http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wildlife/wsi/reports/4956_WSI_4956_RPT_2012.PDF

Western Tanager

(*Piranga ludoviciana*)

1. Hudon, J. 1999. Western Tanager (*Piranga ludoviciana*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.432>
2. Environment Canada. 2013. Bird Conservation Strategy for Bird Conservation Region 6: Boreal Taiga Plains. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Edmonton, Alberta. 288 pp.
3. Hobson, K. A. & Bayne, E. M. 2000. Breeding bird communities in boreal forest of western Canada: Consequences of 'unmixing' the mixedwoods. The Condor 102: 759–769. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1370303>
4. Mahon, C. L. et al. 2016. Community structure and niche characteristics of upland and lowland western boreal birds at multiple spatial scales. Forest Ecology and Management 361: 99–116. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2015.11.007>
5. Sallabanks, R., Haufler, J. B. & Mehl, C. A. 2006. Influence of forest vegetation structure on avian community composition in west-central Idaho. Wildlife Society Bulletin 34: 1079–1093. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4134319> Accessed:
6. Lance, A. N. & Phinney, M. 2001. Bird responses to partial retention timber harvesting in central interior British Columbia. Forest Ecology and Management 142: 267–280.
7. Schieck, J. & Song, S. J. 2006. Changes in bird communities throughout succession following fire and harvest in boreal forests of western North America: literature review and meta-analyses. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 36: 1299–1318. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/x06-017>
8. Leston, L., Bayne, E. & Schmiegelow, F. 2018. Long-term changes in boreal forest occupancy within regenerating harvest units. Forest Ecology and Management 421: 40–53. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.02.029>
9. Hayes, J. P., Weikel, J. M. & Huso, M. M. P. 2003. Response of birds to thinning young Douglas-fir forests. Ecological Applications 13: 1222–1232. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/02-5068>
10. Hagar, J. C., Howlin, S. & Ganio, L. 2004. Short-term response of songbirds to experimental thinning of young Douglas-fir forests in the Oregon Cascades. Forest Ecology and Management 199: 333–347.
11. Pearson, S. F., Giovanini, J., Jones, J. E. & Kroll, A. J. 2015. Breeding bird community continues to colonize riparian buffers ten years after harvest. PLoS ONE 10: e0143241. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0143241>
12. Schieck, J., Stuart-Smith, K. & Norton, M. 2000. Bird communities are affected by amount and dispersion of vegetation retained in mixedwood boreal forest harvest areas. Forest Ecology and Management 126: 239–254.
13. Norton, M. R. & Hannon, S. J. 1997. Songbird response to partial-cut logging in the boreal mixedwood forest of Alberta. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 27: 44–53. Available online: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/x96-149>
14. Tobalske, B. W., Shearer, R. C. & Hutto, R. L. 1991. Bird populations in logged and unlogged western larch/Douglas-fir forest in northwestern Montana. Res. Pap. INT-GTR-442. US Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Ogden, UT. 12 pp. Available online: https://scholarworks.umn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?referer=https://www.google.ca/&httpsredir=1&article=1364&context=biosci_pubs
15. McGarigal, K. & McComb, W. C. 1995. Relationships between landscape structure and breeding birds in the Oregon Coast Range. Ecological Monographs 65: 235–260. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2937059>

Williamson's Sapsucker

(Piranga ludoviciana)

1. Drever, M. C. et al. 2015. Using field data and GIS-derived variables to model occurrence of Williamson's Sapsucker nesting habitat at multiple spatial scales. PLoS ONE 10: e0130849.
2. Gyug, L. W., Dobbs, R. C., Martin, T. E. & Conway, C. J. 2012. Williamson's Sapsucker (*Sphyrapicus thyroideus*), version 2.0. in The Birds of North America (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA.
3. COSEWIC. 2005. COSEWIC Assessment and Status Report on the Williamson's Sapsucker *Sphyrapicus thyroideus*. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, Ottawa, ON. vii + 45 pp.
4. Environment and Climate Change Canada. 2016. Amended Recovery Strategy for the Williamson's Sapsucker (*Sphyrapicus thyroideus*) in Canada. Species at Risk Act Recovery Strategy Series. Environment Canada, Ottawa, ON. vi + 32 pp.
5. B.C. Ministry of Forests Lands and Natural Resource Operations. 2014. Best Management Practices for Timber Harvesting, Roads, and Silviculture for Williamson's Sapsucker in British Columbia: East Kootenay Area of Occupancy. B.C. Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations, Nelson, BC. 15 pp.
6. B.C. Ministry of Forests Lands and Natural Resource Operations. 2014. Best Management Practices for Timber Harvesting, Roads, and Silviculture for Williamson's Sapsucker in British Columbia: Okanagan-Boundary Area of Occupancy. B.C. Ministry of Forests Lands and Natural Resource Operations, Nelson, BC. 15 pp. Available online: <http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eirs/viewDocumentDetail.do?fromStatic=true&repository=BDP&documentId=11942>
7. B.C. Ministry of Forests Lands and Natural Resource Operations. 2014. Best Management Practices for Timber Harvesting, Roads, and Silviculture for Williamson's Sapsucker in British Columbia: Western Area of Occupancy. B.C. Ministry of Forests Lands and Natural Resource Operations, Nelson, BC. 15 pp. Available online: <http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eirs/viewDocumentDetail.do?fromStatic=true&repository=BDP&documentId=11941>

Yellow-bellied Sapsucker

(*Sphyrapicus varius*)

1. Walters, E. L., Miller, E. H. & Lowther, P. E. 2002. Yellow-bellied Sapsucker (*Sphyrapicus varius*), version 2.0. in *The Birds of North America* (Rodewald, P. G., ed.) Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.662>
2. Schieck, J. & Song, S. J. 2006. Changes in bird communities throughout succession following fire and harvest in boreal forests of western North America: literature review and meta-analyses. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 36: 1299–1318. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1139/x06-017>
3. Cooke, H. A., Hannon, S. J. & Song, S. J. 2010. Conserving Old Forest Cavity Users in Aggregated Harvests with Structural Retention. Sustainable Forest Management Network, Edmonton, AB. 36 pp. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.7939/R3JQ0SW3P>
4. Savignac, C. & Machtans, C. S. 2006. Habitat requirements of the Yellow-bellied Sapsucker, *Sphyrapicus varius*, in boreal mixedwood forests of northwestern Canada. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 84: 1230–1239. Available online: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/full/10.1139/z06-112#.WlVB-KinFzA>
5. Norton, M. R. & Hannon, S. J. 1997. Songbird response to partial-cut logging in the boreal mixedwood forest of Alberta. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 27: 44–53. Available online: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/x96-149>
6. Machtans, C. S., Villard, M.-A. & Hannon, S. J. 1996. Use of riparian buffer strips as movement corridors by forest birds. *Conservation Biology* 10: 1366–1379.
7. Leston, L., Bayne, E. & Schmiegelow, F. 2018. Long-term changes in boreal forest occupancy within regenerating harvest units. *Forest Ecology and Management* 421: 40–53. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.02.029>
8. Van Wilgenburg, S. L. & Hobson, K. A. 2008. Landscape-scale disturbance and boreal forest birds: Can large single-pass harvest approximate fires? *Forest Ecology and Management* 256: 136–146. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2008.04.017>
9. Tozer, D. C., Burke, D. M., Nol, E. & Elliott, K. A. 2012. Managing ecological traps: Logging and sapsucker nest predation by bears. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 76: 887–898. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/jwmg.336/full>
10. Bonar, R. 2018. Personal communication. April 6, 2018
11. Cooke, H. A. & Hannon, S. J. 2012. Nest-site selection by old boreal forest cavity excavators as a basis for structural retention guidelines in spatially-aggregated harvests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 269: 37–51. Available online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.12.042>