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Overview of the Presentation

- Background
- Regulatory and mining process
- Site tour
- End land use planning process
- Consultation and public engagement process
- Scenario development
- Future of end land use planning
- Policy implications
Main focus

• Focus on the planning approach taken and the challenges and less on the outcome of the planning initiative
• General direction
• It is still an on-going process
• Final draft plan – Mid 2010
Challenges

- **Motorized Recreation** – wants more quad trails & snowmobiling
- **Non-Motoried Recreation** – Hiking, photography, mountain biking
- **Hunting** – want to see hunting taking place
- **Outfitting/tourism** – More opportunities for outfitting & tourism
- **Conservation** – low impact, wildlife sanctuary
- **Research** – Area land for research
- **Interpretive** - Interpretive centre
- **Resource interests** – There are still resources in the area
- **Coal mines** – spent time and resource on reclamation; leave a legacy behind; do not want to see it ruined.
- **Planning initiative** – balance between all these values, opportunities, constraints and decide the right thing for the land
Other resource interests

- Commercial forestry
- Oil and gas
- Coal rights
Challenge

- Piece of pie approach
- Wants bigger piece
- Not a piece of pie approach
- Scoping exercise – Values, opportunities and constraints
- Vision – “The plan will conserve wildlife and protect watershed”
Regulatory process

- Sub surface rights
- Permit: Conceptual plan - ERCB
- Environmental Impact Assessment – AENV
- License: More detailed plan for pits, dumps, haul road, reclamation plan etc–AENV/ERCB
- Mineral Surface Lease (MSL): Surface rights - ASRD
Mining process

- Land clearing
- Soil salvage
- Rocks removal/rock dump
- Coal mining
- Reclamation – C & R Plan
- Reclamation certification
Site Tour

• Tour of the area
• Before, during and after mining
Project Area
Luscar and Gregg River Mines
Current status

• Total area – About 7100 ha.
• Gregg River Mine:
  – Disturbed area: 1252 ha.
  – Almost all reclaimed
• Luscar Mine:
  – Disturbed area – 2038 ha.
  – About 50% reclamation completed
  – Reclamation will continue for another decade
Pre mining landscape
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Reclamation

• Objective – watershed protection and wildlife habitat
• Waste rock dump
• Soil placement – 30 cm in average
• Contouring – slopes 27 degree
• Re-vegetation – grass/tree
• End pit lake/wet land
Reclaimed Landscape
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End Pit Lake
Bighorn Sheep
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Grizzly bear
Poaching
Current status of wildlife population

- Wildlife survey by Bighorn Wildlife Technologies Ltd. in 2008
- Bighorn Sheep – 1083
- Elk – 317
- Mule Deer -129
- Coyote, Grey wolf, Red fox, black bear, Grizzly bear, Cougar, Hoary Marmot and many birds
Luscar and Gregg River Mines Land Management Plan

• Special interest group lobby for use of the area
• Discussion on what to do with the land
• Have plan in place
• Decision to start the planning process – started in 2007
• Process is backward
Partnership

• Alberta Sustainable Resource Development (ASRD), in partnership with Teck Coal Ltd. and Coal Valley Resources Inc. is developing a Land Management Plan (LMP)
Purpose of the Plan

• Provide the mining companies, government and major stakeholders with clear and consistent direction regarding land use both before and after certification
Planning Cycle

Stage 1: Project Initiation
Stage 2: Data and Information Gathering
Stage 3: Scenario Development
Stage 4: Draft Land Management Plan (LMP)
Stage 5: LMP Approval
Stage 6: LMP Implementation
Stage 7: Monitoring and Evaluation
Working Group

- Teck Coal Ltd
- Coal Valley Resource Inc.
- Yellowhead County
- West Fraser
- Jasper National Park
- Alberta Environment
- Energy Resources Conservation Board (ERCB)
- Alberta Tourism, Parks and Recreation (TPR)
- Alberta Energy
- Alberta Sustainable Resources Development
Planning, Consultation and Decision Making Process

- **STAGE I** – Project Initiation: WG formation/TOR
- **STAGE II** - Data and Information gathering: WG/S
- **STAGE III** – Scenario Development: Coarse/Preferred Scenario (WG, Stakeholders)
- **STAGE IV** – Draft LMP: Based on preferred scenario draft LMP, Inform/seek input from Stakeholder and public
- **Stage V – LMP approval:** WG/ASRD/Communicate
- **Stage VI – Plan Implementation:**
  - Implementation Strategy/Agreements/Roles & responsibilities
  - Plan Implementation
- **STAGE VII – Monitoring and Evaluation:**
Consultation and Public Engagement Process

• Who has been engaged?
  – Municipalities (YC and TOH)
  – Disposition Holders in the area
  – Aboriginal groups
  – Recreationists, conservationist and commercial operators
  – Surrounding communities
Focus Group

- Whitehorse Wildland Park Steward
- Cadomin EPA
- Athabasca Bioregional Society
- Alpine Club of Canada
- Cadomin/Hinton residents
- Fed. of AB Naturalist
- Town of Hinton
- Hinton/Edson ATV
- AB OHV
- Equestrian
- Edson Snowmobile
- AB Outfitters Association
- Eco-tourism/commercial
- AB Trappers Association
- Foundation of North American Wild Sheep
- Alberta Fish & Game
- Hinton/Edson F & G
- Feb of Northern AB Wild Sheep hunters
- MLA
Focus Group Sessions and Public Open House Session

- Focus group
- Field orientation
- Four focused discussion on:
  - Conservation/stewardship/non motorized
  - Motorized Recreation
  - Commercial operators (outfitters, tourism etc)
  - Hunting and wildlife management
- Three focus group sessions
- Public open house
Scenarios Development

- “Scenario” – work-in-progress, subject to evolution and refinement
- Preferred scenarios reflects best efforts at integrating all interests and values within the framework of the preconditions (guiding principles & criteria) and deciding what is best for the land
- Compatibility, incompatibility & mitigation measures
- More geographically specific prescriptions will emerge at later stages
Preconditions

• Adhere to, or consider land and resource management legislation, policies and plans
  – Public Lands Act, EPEA, C & R regulations, Eastern Slopes Policy, IRP, Access Management Plan, FLUZ

• LUF/Upper Athabasca Regional Plan (UARP)
• Reclamation objectives
• Land use decisions are made by ASRD
Preferred Scenario

Goals (5)
↓
Objectives (13)
↓
Strategies → Rationale
↓
Actions (Implementation strategy)
↓
Measurement criteria
Goals, Objectives and Strategies

Definitions

• **Goals** – Where we want to be (broad, general intentions, intangible, can’t be validated)

• **Objectives** – Steps needed to get there (specific, precise, tangible, can be validated)

• **Strategies** – Approaches to achieve objectives (what and how)
Preferred Scenario (use map)

- Conserve wildlife and protect watershed
- Maintain existing 5 trails (Motorized & non-motorized)
- Opportunity for additional hiking trails
- Wildlife population management – habitat management, Relocation, hunting etc.
- Hunting only as a tool to manage population - health of the herd (only after assessment)
- Enhance education, communication and enforcement
- Research and interpretive opportunities
Compatibility of Recreational, Commercial and Industrial activities

- Hiking – Restricted
- Wildlife and landscape viewing – Restricted
- Photography – Restricted
- OHV – Restricted
- Cross country – Restricted
- Sledding - Restricted
- Overnight camping – Prohibited
- Mountain biking – Restricted
- Equestrian - Restricted
Compatibility of Recreational, Commercial and Industrial activities

• Commercial tourism – Restricted
• Hunting – Restricted
• Angling in short term – Restricted
• Angling in long-term – Permitted
• Domestic Grazing – Prohibited
• Dogs – Prohibited
• Firearms – Restricted
• Research and interpretive opportunities - permitted
Research and Interpretive Centre

- Plan for a Research and Interpretive Centre
- MLA Robin Campbell working on the project
- Research and Interpretive Centre has to be aligned and integrated into the Land Management Plan
Planning Approach

• Several ways to approach the planning process
• Many different approaches in the past – pros and cons
• This planning process has pros and cons as well
• Comments on the draft plan or discuss and provide input to give direction for drafting the preferred scenario and the Plan
Policy Implications

- Luscar and Gregg River Mines LMP – backward
- Plan should be developed even before the mining takes place
- Outcome from this initiative will provide basis for changing regional and provincial policy directions or develop new ones
- Inform UARP
- Coal mines have agreed conceptually
- Process will be starting soon for other mine areas
QUESTIONS!

• For more information:
• http://www.srd.alberta.ca/ManagingPrograms/Lands/Planning/Default.aspx